Appendix D

Statistical Tables

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table Q3: Wards	**Pg 6**
Table Q4: There are many police agencies operating within the District of Columbia. Please tell us which police agency you have dealt with the most.	**Pg 6**
Table Q5: I believe that the police from the agency with which I have had the most contact are generally fair and unbiased.	**Pg 7**
Table Q6: I think that the police from the agency I identified earlier do a good job working with the community to solve local problems.	**Pg 7**
Table Q7: The police in D.C. share necessary and/or relevant information with the community -- like through outreach, social media, or other local media, such as television, or radio.	**Pg 7**
Table Q8: How would you describe your interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations, such as asking for directions or at a public or community event?	**Pg 8**
Table Q9: Which of the following are most likely to shape your opinions about police in D.C. overall?	**Pg 8**
Table Q10: People generally have an obligation to obey the police.	**Pg 8**
Table Q11: When a police officer makes a lawful request of someone, I believe it is appropriate for a person to comply, even if they don't agree with it/like it.	**Pg 9**
Table Q12: How likely are you to provide information about criminal activity in your neighborhood to the police?	**Pg 9**
Table Q13: In the past 12 months, have you been stopped by a police officer in D.C. while you were walking, in a vehicle, or on your bike?	**Pg 9**
Table Q14: For your most recent interaction with a police officer, please tell us which agency the officer was from.	**Pg 9**
Table Q15: Thinking about this stop, please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following: The officer clearly explained the reason for the stop.	**Pg 10**
Table Q16: Do you believe you were stopped primarily due to one or more of the following: your race, ethnicity, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or immigration status?	**Pg 10**
Table Q17: Indicate your level of agreement or disagreement regarding the officer's actions in this stop: The officer's actions in this encounter were fair and justified.	**Pg 10**
Table Q18: During this encounter, how carefully did the officer listen to your concerns, explanation, or version of events?.	**Pg 11**
Table Q19: To what extent do you believe the outcome of the stop was fair, even if you were unhappy with it?	**Pg 11**
Table Q20: In thinking about the past 12 months, from which of the following police agencies have you most recently requested assistance, such as by either calling 911, or stopping or flagging down an officer on the street?	**Pg 11**
Table Q21: During my most recent request for assistance, the officer adequately addressed my concerns.	**Pg 11**
Table Q22: Race/Ethnicity	**Pg 12**
Table Q23: Gender	**Pg 12**
Table Q24: Age Group	**Pg 12**
Table Q25. Household Income	**Pg 13**

Table **Page**

Table 1a. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Ward (Q5) ..... **Pg 14**
Table 1b. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q5) ..... **Pg 15**
Table 1c. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Gender (Q5) ..... **Pg 15**
Table 1d. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Age (Q5) ..... **Pg 16**
Table 1e. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Income Range (Q5) ..... **Pg 17**
Table 1f. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q5) ..... **Pg 18**
Table 1g. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q5) ..... **Pg 18**
Table 2a. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Ward (Q6) ..... **Pg 19**
Table 2b. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race/Ethnicity (Q6) ..... **Pg 20**
Table 2c. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Gender (Q6) ..... **Pg 20**
Table 2d. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Age (Q6) ..... **Pg 21**
Table 2e. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Income Range (Q6) ..... **Pg 22**
Table 2f. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q6) . **Pg 23**
Table 2g. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q6) ..... **Pg 23**
Table 3a. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Ward (Q7) ..... **Pg 24**
Table 3b. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race/Ethnicity (Q7) ..... **Pg 25**
Table 3c. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Gender (Q7) ..... **Pg 25**
Table 3d. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Age (Q7) ..... **Pg 26**
Table 3e. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Income Range (Q7) ..... **Pg 27**
Table 3f. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q7) ... **Pg 28**
Table 3g. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q7) ..... **Pg 28**
Table 4a. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Ward (Q8) ..... **Pg 29**
Table 4b. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race/Ethnicity (Q8) ..... **Pg 30**
Table 4c. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Gender (Q8) ..... **Pg 30**
Table 4d. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Age (Q8) ..... **Pg 31**
Table 4e. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Income Range (Q8) ..... **Pg 32**
Table 4f. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race Among 18–34 Years Old (Q8) ..... **Pg 33**
Table 4g. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q8) ..... **Pg 33**
Table 5a. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Ward (Q9) ..... **Pg 34**
Table 5b. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race/Ethnicity (Q9) ..... **Pg 35**
Table 5c. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Gender (Q9**)** ..... **Pg 35**
Table 5d. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Age (Q9) ..... **Pg 36**
Table 5e. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Income Range (Q9) ..... **Pg 37**

Table	Page
Table 5f. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q9)	**Pg 38**
Table 5g. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q9)	**Pg 38**
Table 6a. Obligation to obey the police by Ward (Q10)	**Pg 39**
Table 6b. Obligation to obey the police by Race/Ethnicity (Q10)	**Pg 40**
Table 6c. Obligation to obey the police by Gender (Q10)	**Pg 40**
Table 6d. Obligation to obey the police by Age (Q10)	**Pg 41**
Table 6e. Obligation to obey the police by Income Range (Q10)	**Pg 42**
Table 6f. Obligation to obey the police by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q10)	**Pg 43**
Table 6g. Obligation to obey the police by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q10)	**Pg 43**
Table 7a. Comply lawful request by Ward (Q11)	**Pg 44**
Table 7b. Comply lawful request by Race/Ethnicity (Q11)	**Pg 45**
Table 7c. Comply lawful request by Gender (Q11)	**Pg 45**
Table 7d. Comply lawful request by Age (Q11)	**Pg 46**
Table 7e. Comply lawful request by Income Range (Q11)	**Pg 47**
Table 7f. Comply lawful request by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q11)	**Pg 48**
Table 7g. Comply lawful request by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q11)	**Pg 48**
Table 8a. Provide information about criminal activity by Ward (Q12)	**Pg 49**
Table 8b. Provide information about criminal activity by Race/Ethnicity (Q12)	**Pg 50**
Table 8c. Provide information about criminal activity by Gender (Q12)	**Pg 50**
Table 8d. Provide information about criminal activity by Age (Q12)	**Pg 51**
Table 8e. Provide information about criminal activity by Income Range (Q12)	**Pg 52**
Table 8f. Provide information about criminal activity by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q12)	**Pg 53**
Table 8g. Provide information about criminal activity by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q12)	**Pg 53**
Table 9a. Recent interaction police agency by Ward (Q14)	**Pg 54**
Table 9b. Recent interaction police agency by Race/Ethnicity (Q14)	**Pg 55**
Table 9c. Recent interaction police agency by Gender (Q14)	**Pg 55**
Table 9d. Recent interaction police agency by Age (Q14)	**Pg 56**
Table 9e. Recent interaction police agency by Income Range (Q14)	**Pg 57**
Table 9f. Recent interaction police agency by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q14)	**Pg 58**
Table 9g. Recent interaction police agency by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q14)	**Pg 58**
Table 10a. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Ward (Q15)	**Pg 59**
Table 10b. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race/Ethnicity (Q15)	**Pg 60**
Table 10c. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Gender (Q15)	**Pg 60**
Table 10d. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Age (Q15)	**Pg 61**
Table 10e. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Income Range (Q15)	**Pg 62**
Table 10f. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q15)	**Pg 63**

Table	Page
Table 10g. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q15)	**Pg 63**
Table 11a. Believed Reason for the Stop by Ward (Q16)	**Pg 64**
Table 11b. Reason for the stop by Race/Ethnicity (Q16)	**Pg 64**
Table 11c. Reason for the stop by Gender (Q16)	**Pg 65**
Table 11d. Reason for the stop by Age (Q16)	**Pg 65**
Table 11e. Reason for the stop by Income Range (Q16)	**Pg 66**
Table 11f. Reason for the stop by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q16)	**Pg 67**
Table 11g. Reason for the stop by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q16)	**Pg 67**
Table 12a. Fair and justified ratings by Ward (Q17)	**Pg 68**
Table 12b. Fair and justified ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q17)	**Pg 69**
Table 12c. Fair and justified ratings by Gender (Q17)	**Pg 69**
Table 12d. Fair and justified ratings by Age (Q17)	**Pg 70**
Table 12e. Fair and justified ratings by Income Range (Q17)	**Pg 71**
Table 12f. Fair and justified ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q17)	**Pg 72**
Table 12g. Fair and justified ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q17)	**Pg 72**
Table 13a. Listening Concerns by Ward (Q18)	**Pg 73**
Table 13b. Listening Concerns by Race/Ethnicity (Q18)	**Pg 74**
Table 13c. Listening Concerns by Gender (Q18)	**Pg 74**
Table 13d. Listening Concerns by Age (Q18)	**Pg 75**
Table 13e. Listening Concerns by Income Range (Q18)	**Pg 76**
Table 13f. Listening Concerns by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q18)	**Pg 77**
Table 13g. Listening Concerns by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q18)	**Pg 77**
Table 14a. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Ward (Q19)	**Pg 78**
Table 14b. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q19)	**Pg 79**
Table 14c. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Gender (Q19)	**Pg 79**
Table 14d. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Age (Q19)	**Pg 80**
Table 14e. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Income Range (Q19)	**Pg 81**
Table 14f. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q19)	**Pg 82**
Table 14g. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q19)	**Pg 82**
Table 15a. Adequately addressed the concerns by Ward (Q21)	**Pg 83**
Table 15b. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race/Ethnicity (Q21)	**Pg 84**
Table 15c. Adequately addressed the concerns by Gender (Q21)	**Pg 84**
Table 15d. Adequately addressed the concerns by Age (Q21)	**Pg 85**
Table 15e. Adequately addressed the concerns by Income Range (Q21)	**Pg 86**
Table 15f. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q21)	**Pg 87**
Table 15g. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q21)	**Pg 87**

Table	Page
Table 16a. Stopped by police officer (Q13) by ward	**Pg 88**
Table 16b. Stopped by police officer (Q13) by Race/Ethnicity	**Pg 88**
Table 16c. Stopped by police officer overall by Gender (Q13)	**Pg 89**
Table 16d. Stopped by a police officer overall by Age (Q13)	**Pg 89**
Table 16e. Stopped by a police officer overall by Income Range (Q13)	**Pg 90**
Table 17a. Request Assistance (Q20) by Ward	**Pg 90**
Table 17b. Request Assistance (Q20) by Race/Ethnicity	**Pg 91**
Table 17c. Request Assistance (Q20) by Gender	**Pg 91**
Table 17d. Request Assistance (Q20) by Age	**Pg 91**
Table 17e. Request Assistance (Q20) by Income Range	**Pg 92**
Table 18a. Police Agency Contact by Ward (Q4)	**Pg 93**
Table 18b. Police Agency Contact by Race/Ethnicity (Q4)	**Pg 93**
Table 18c. Police Agency Contact by Gender (Q4)	**Pg 94**
Table 18d. Police Agency Contact by Age (Q4)	**Pg 94**
Table 18e. Police Agency Contact by Income Range (Q4)	**Pg 95**

Notes: In addition to showing frequency counts and cross tabulations across all response options, many tables also include summarized data (e.g., “Strongly Agree” and “Agree” were collapsed into one category labeled "Agreement". Similarly, “Disagree” and “Strongly Disagree” were collapsed into one category labeled "Disagreement" A similar procedure was used for other category ratings. Responses for Unsure were coded as missing for calculating means (M) and standard deviations (SD). There may be discrepancies in total “N” (no. of responses) & mean calculations due to rounding error especially for 3-way crosstabs.

Survey Weighted Topline Tables

(Weighted topline tables include all responses. However, for analysis, many crosstab tables exclude unsure & other non-informative categories)

Table Q3. Wards

Responses	Weighted proportion
Ward 1	13.1%
Ward 2	12.0%
Ward 3	13.6%
Ward 4	14.0%
Ward 5	13.9%
Ward 6	13.7%
Ward 7	9.7%
Ward 8	10.0%
Total	100.0%

Table Q4: There are many police agencies operating within the District of Columbia. Please tell us which police agency you have dealt with the most.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Metropolitan Police Department	74.1%
US Capitol Police	3.6%
Metro Transit Police	3.0%
US Park Police	1.4%
Housing Authority Police	0.3%
Different agency	1.7%
Unsure	1.6%
No contact with police	14.3%
Total	100.0%

Table Q5: I believe that the police from the agency with which I have had the most contact are generally fair and unbiased.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	25.8%
Agree	42.1%
Disagree	15.2%
Strongly Disagree	8.6%
Unsure	8.4%
Total	100.0%

Table Q6: I think that the police from the agency I identified earlier do a good job working with the community to solve local problems.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	15.0%
Agree	39.0%
Disagree	20.5%
Strongly Disagree	10.0%
Unsure	15.5%
Total	100.0%

Table Q7: The police in D.C. share necessary and/or relevant information with the community -- like through outreach, social media, or other local media, such as television, or radio.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	12.4%
Agree	38.9%
Disagree	18.4%
Strongly Disagree	8.4%
Unsure	21.9%
Total	100.0%

Table Q8: How would you describe your interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations, such as asking for directions or at a public or community event?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Very positive	34.2%
Somewhat positive	38.8%
Somewhat negative	8.3%
Very negative	2.7%
Not enough experience	16.0%
Total	100.0%

Table Q9: Which of the following are most likely to shape your opinions about police in D.C. overall?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Non-emergency interactions	32.7%
Emergency interactions	20.3%
Impressions from the media	7.2%
Police stops	8.4%
All of the above	27.2%
None of the above	2.0%
Unsure	2.1%
Total	100.0%

Table Q10 People generally have an obligation to obey the police.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	37.0%
Agree	49.4%
Disagree	7.0%
Strongly Disagree	1.8%
Unsure	4.8%
Total	100.0%

Table Q11: When a police officer makes a lawful request of someone, I believe it is appropriate for a person to comply, even if they don't agree with it/like it.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	35.2%
Agree	51.0%
Disagree	6.0%
Strongly Disagree	1.2%
Unsure	6.7%
Total	100.0%

Table Q12: How likely are you to provide information about criminal activity in your neighborhood to police?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Very likely to provide information	51.4%
Likely to provide information	31.1%
Unlikely to provide information	7.5%
Very unlikely to provide information	4.4%
Unsure	5.6%
Total	100.0%

Table Q13 In the past 12 months, have you been stopped by a police officer in D.C. while you were walking, in a vehicle, or on your bike?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Yes	15.4%
No	84.6%
Total	100.0%

Table Q14: For your most recent interaction with a police officer, please tell us which agency the officer was from.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Metropolitan Police Department	84.9%
US Capitol police	2.9%
Metro transit police	3.0%
US Park police	4.5%
Housing authority police	0.1%
Different agency	1.3%
Unsure	3.4%
Total	100.0%

Table Q15: Thinking about this stop, please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following: The officer clearly explained the reason for the stop.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	35.8%
Agree	29.1%
Disagree	14.5%
Strongly Disagree	20.0%
Unsure	0.6%
Total	100.0%

Table Q16: Do you believe you were stopped primarily due to one or more of the following: your race, ethnicity, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or immigration status?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Do not believe you were stopped because of any of these reasons	53.5%
Believe you were stopped due to more than one of these reasons	24.2%
Believe you were stopped due to your race	17.3%
Believe you were stopped due to your ethnicity	2.2%
Believe you were stopped due to your age	0.9%
Believe you were stopped due to your gender identity	0.8%
Believe you were stopped due to your sexual orientation	0.7%
Believe you were stopped due to your immigration status	0.3%
Total	100.0%

Table Q17: Indicate your level of agreement or disagreement regarding the officer's actions in this stop: The officer's actions in this encounter were fair and justified.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	29.1%
Agree	24.5%
Disagree	23.4%
Strongly Disagree	20.6%
Unsure	2.5%
Total	100.0%

Table Q18: During this encounter, how carefully did the officer listen to your concerns, explanation, or version of events?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Listened very carefully	34.1%
Listened somewhat carefully	25.8%
Did not listen very carefully	15.4%
Did not listen at all	24.6%
Total	100.0%

Table Q19: To what extent do you believe the outcome of the stop was fair, even if you were unhappy with it?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Very fair	34.1%
Somewhat fair	34.6%
Somewhat unfair	12.1%
Very unfair	19.1%
Unsure	0.2%
Total	100.0%

Table Q20: In thinking about the past 12 months, from which of the following police agencies have you most recently requested assistance, such as by either calling 911, or stopping or flagging down an officer on the street?

Responses	Weighted proportion
Yes for Metropolitan Police Department	51.8%
Yes for different agency	3.4%
Did not request assistance	44.9%
Total	100.0%

Table Q21: During my most recent request for assistance, the officer adequately addressed my concerns.

Responses	Weighted proportion
Strongly Agree	39.9%
Agree	38.6%
Disagree	9.5%
Strongly Disagree	6.2%
Unsure	5.8%
Total	100.0%

Table Q22. Race/Ethnicity

Responses	Weighted proportion
White or Caucasian, and not Hispanic origin	35.4%
White or Caucasian, and of Hispanic origin	6.5%
Black or African American, and not of Hispanic origin	48.7%
Black or African American, and of Hispanic origin	3.4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3.6%
Native American or Alaska Native	0.2%
Mixed race and/or ethnicity	1.2%
Race and/or ethnicity is not captured in these categories	1.0%
Total	100.0%

Table Q23. Gender

Responses	Weighted proportion
Male	47.3%
Female	51.6%
Non-Binary	1.1%
Total	100.0%

Table Q24. Age Group

Responses	Weighted proportion
18 to 24	7.1%
25 to 34	33.2%
35 to 44	18.2%
45 to 54	14.6%
55 to 64	12.3%
65 or older	14.7%
Total	100.0%

Table Q25. Household Income

Responses	Weighted proportion
Under $25,000	9.8%
$25,001 to $50,000	15.1%
$50,001 to $75,000	15.6%
$75,001 to $100,000	13.5%
$100,001 to $150,000	16.5%
$150,001 to $200,000	8.7%
Over $200,000	13.6%
Do not want to answer this question	7.2%
Total	100.0%

Table 1a. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Ward (Q5)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	34	18	22	113	53	40	166	206	2.98	0.85
	14.2%	7.5%	9.2%	47.1%	22.1%	19.4%	80.6%			
2	15	8	41	101	56	49	157	206	3.00	0.79
	6.8%	3.6%	18.6%	45.7%	25.3%	23.8%	76.2%			
3	18	7	25	113	84	32	198	230	3.20	0.75
	7.3%	2.8%	10.1%	45.7%	34.0%	13.9%	86.1%			
4	18	31	59	82	71	90	153	243	2.79	1.01
	6.9%	11.9%	22.6%	31.4%	27.2%	37.0%	63.0%			
5	17	19	41	96	61	60	157	217	2.92	0.90
	7.3%	8.1%	17.5%	41.0%	26.1%	27.6%	72.4%			
6	22	34	31	119	54	65	173	238	2.82	0.95
	8.5%	13.1%	11.9%	45.8%	20.8%	27.0%	73.0%			
7	18	19	33	69	17	52	86	138	2.61	0.88
	11.5%	12.2%	21.2%	44.2%	10.9%	37.7%	62.3%			
8	11	20	20	63	68	40	131	171	3.05	0.99
	6.0%	11.0%	11.0%	34.6%	37.4%	23.4%	76.6%			
Total	153	156	272	756	464	427	1,221	1,649	2.93	0.90
	8.5%	8.7%	15.1%	42.0%	25.8%	25.9%	74.1%			

“Strongly Agree” and “Agree” were combined as "Agreement" and “Disagree” and “Strongly Disagree” were combined as "Disagreement" (these dichotomized responses are separated by shaded column outlines).

“Unsure” responses were coded as missing for calculating means and standard deviations.

Table 1b. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q5)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	42	25	84	290	188	109	478	587	3.09	0.79
	6.7%	4.0%	13.4%	46.1%	29.9%	18.6%	81.4%			
African American	87	106	161	345	198	267	543	810	2.78	0.96
	9.7%	11.8%	17.9%	38.5%	22.1%	33.0%	67.0%			
Asian	4	4	2	29	16	6	45	51	3.12	0.82
	7.3%	7.3%	3.6%	52.7%	29.1%	11.8%	88.2%			
Hispanic	16	18	18	75	52	36	127	163	2.99	0.93
	8.9%	10.1%	10.1%	41.9%	29.1%	22.1%	77.9%			
Other	4	2	8	18	10	10	28	38	2.93	0.84
	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	23.8%	26.3%	73.7%			
Total	153	155	273	757	464	428	1,221	1,649	2.93	0.90
	8.5%	8.6%	15.1%	42.0%	25.7%	26.0%	74.0%			

Table 1c. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Gender (Q5)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	55	74	130	356	272	203	628	831	2.99	0.92
	6.2%	8.3%	14.7%	40.1%	30.7%	24.4%	75.6%			
Female	97	71	143	397	187	214	584	798	2.88	0.87
	10.8%	7.9%	16.0%	44.4%	20.9%	26.8%	73.2%			
Non-Binary	0	10	1	4	5	11	9	20	2.19	1.31
	0.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	55.0%	45.0%			
Total	152	155	274	757	464	428	1,221	1,649	2.93	0.90
	8.4%	8.6%	15.2%	42.0%	25.7%	26.0%	74.0%			

Table 1d. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Age (Q5)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	74	89	126	292	178	216	471	686	2.82	0.97
	9.7%	11.7%	16.6%	38.5%	23.5%	31.4%	68.6%			
35–44	32	26	51	144	76	77	219	296	2.91	0.88
	9.7%	7.9%	15.5%	43.8%	23.1%	26.0%	74.0%			
45–54	20	18	41	125	55	59	180	239	2.91	0.84
	7.7%	6.9%	15.8%	48.3%	21.2%	24.7%	75.3%			
55–64	11	14	25	91	63	39	154	193	3.05	0.86
	5.4%	6.9%	12.3%	44.6%	30.9%	20.2%	79.8%			
65+	14	8	30	105	92	38	197	235	3.20	0.78
	5.6%	3.2%	12.0%	42.2%	36.9%	16.2%	83.8%			
Total	151	155	273	757	464	429	1,221	1,649	2.93	0.90
	8.4%	8.6%	15.2%	42.1%	25.8%	26.0%	74.0%			

Table 1e. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Income Range (Q5)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	34	22	17	38	60	39	98	137	3.00	1.10
	19.9%	12.9%	9.9%	22.2%	35.1%	28.5%	71.5%			
$25K – $50K	16	19	66	104	53	85	157	241	2.79	0.88
	6.2%	7.4%	25.6%	40.3%	20.5%	35.1%	64.9%			
$50K – $75K	14	40	25	125	90	65	215	280	2.95	0.99
	4.8%	13.6%	8.5%	42.5%	30.6%	23.2%	76.8%			
$75K – 100K	6	24	51	110	47	75	157	232	2.78	0.89
	2.5%	10.1%	21.4%	46.2%	19.7%	32.3%	67.7%			
$100K - $150K	21	30	46	134	68	76	201	277	2.86	0.91
	7.0%	10.0%	15.4%	44.8%	22.7%	27.4%	72.6%			
$150K- $200K	27	6	17	82	37	23	119	142	3.06	0.74
	16.0%	3.6%	10.1%	48.5%	21.9%	16.2%	83.8%			
Over 200K	24	4	31	114	83	35	197	232	3.19	0.72
	9.4%	1.6%	12.1%	44.5%	32.4%	15.1%	84.9%			
Decline	10	10	21	52	26	31	78	109	2.87	0.88
	8.4%	8.4%	17.6%	43.7%	21.8%	28.4%	71.6%			
Total	152	155	274	759	464	429	1,222	1,649*	2.93	0.90
	8.4%	8.6%	15.2%	42.1%	25.7%	26.0%	74.0%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 1f. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q5)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	14	20	50	103	83	70	187	256	2.98	0.91
	5.2%	7.4%	18.5%	38.1%	30.7%	27.2%	72.8%			
African American	49	57	71	141	84	128	225	353	2.71	1.00
	12.2%	14.2%	17.7%	35.1%	20.9%	36.3%	63.7%			
Asian	0	0	0	11	0	0	11	11	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	11	12	0	30	8	12	38	50	2.69	1.02
	18.0%	19.7%	0.0%	49.2%	13.1%	24.0%	76.0%			
Other	1	0	5	7	3	5	10	16	2.84	0.79
	6.3%	0.0%	31.3%	43.8%	18.8%	33.3%	66.7%			
Total	75	89	126	292	178	215	471	686	2.82	0.97
	9.9%	11.7%	16.6%	38.4%	23.4%	31.3%	68.7%			

Table 1g. Fair and Unbiased Ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q5)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	4	11	41	19	30	52	49	101	2.67	1.03
	3.8%	10.5%	39.0%	18.1%	28.6%	51.5%	48.5%			
African American	42	24	37	107	62	61	169	230	2.90	0.92
	15.4%	8.8%	13.6%	39.3%	22.8%	26.5%	73.5%			
Asian	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	6	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	2	6	3	7	19	9	26	35	3.12	1.14
	5.4%	16.2%	8.1%	18.9%	51.4%	25.7%	74.3%			
Other	1	0	1	3	2	1	5	7	3.17	0.87
	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	71.4%			
Total	49	41	82	142	113	124	255	378*	2.86	0.97
	11.5%	9.6%	19.2%	33.3%	26.5%	32.7%	67.3%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 2a. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Ward (Q6)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	50	15	44	91	41	59	132	191	2.83	0.85
	20.7%	6.2%	18.3%	37.8%	17.0%	30.9%	69.1%			
2	49	9	54	66	43	63	109	171	2.83	0.87
	22.2%	4.1%	24.4%	29.9%	19.5%	36.6%	63.4%			
3	47	12	26	139	24	38	163	201	2.87	0.69
	19.0%	4.8%	10.5%	56.0%	9.7%	18.9%	81.1%			
4	25	36	74	98	29	109	127	236	2.51	0.90
	9.5%	13.7%	28.2%	37.4%	11.1%	46.2%	53.8%			
5	27	24	50	94	38	75	133	207	2.71	0.90
	11.6%	10.3%	21.5%	40.3%	16.3%	35.7%	64.3%			
6	55	39	35	95	35	74	130	205	2.62	0.99
	21.2%	15.1%	13.5%	36.7%	13.5%	36.6%	63.4%			
7	13	22	41	67	14	63	80	143	2.51	0.87
	8.3%	14.0%	26.1%	42.7%	8.9%	44.1%	55.9%			
8	14	23	45	52	47	69	99	167	2.75	1.02
	7.7%	12.7%	24.9%	28.7%	26.0%	41.1%	58.9%			
Total	280	180	369	702	271	550	973	1,522*	2.70	0.90
	15.5%	10.0%	20.5%	39.0%	15.0%	36.1%	63.9%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 2b. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race/Ethnicity (Q6)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	77	27	129	284	111	157	395	552	2.87	0.79
	12.3%	4.3%	20.5%	45.2%	17.7%	28.4%	71.6%			
African American	177	128	187	283	122	315	405	720	2.55	0.97
	19.7%	14.3%	20.8%	31.5%	13.6%	43.8%	56.3%			
Asian	5	2	5	36	7	7	43	50	2.95	0.63
	9.1%	3.6%	9.1%	65.5%	12.7%	14.0%	86.0%			
Hispanic	12	18	37	85	26	56	111	167	2.71	0.86
	6.7%	10.1%	20.8%	47.8%	14.6%	33.5%	66.5%			
Other	9	4	10	14	5	14	19	33	2.61	0.90
	21.4%	9.5%	23.8%	33.3%	11.9%	42.4%	57.6%			
Total	280	179	368	702	271	549	973	1,522	2.70	0.90
	15.6%	9.9%	20.4%	39.0%	15.1%	35.9%	64.1%			

Table 2c. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Gender (Q6)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	112	79	169	370	157	248	527	774	2.78	0.88
	12.6%	8.9%	19.1%	41.7%	17.7%	32.0%	68.0%			
Female	166	87	197	330	114	285	444	729	2.65	0.88
	18.6%	9.7%	22.0%	36.9%	12.8%	39.1%	60.9%			
Non-Binary	3	14	3	2	0	16	2	18	1.36	0.68
	13.6%	63.6%	13.6%	9.1%	0.0%	88.9%	11.1%			
Total	281	180	369	702	271	549	973	1,522*	2.70	0.90
	15.6%	10.0%	20.5%	38.9%	15.0%	36.1%	63.9%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 2d. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Age (Q6)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	165	100	172	246	77	273	322	595	2.50	0.92
	21.7%	13.2%	22.6%	32.4%	10.1%	45.9%	54.1%			
35–44	45	28	80	132	43	108	175	283	2.67	0.85
	13.7%	8.5%	24.4%	40.2%	13.1%	38.2%	61.8%			
45–54	34	21	44	121	39	65	160	226	2.79	0.84
	13.1%	8.1%	17.0%	46.7%	15.1%	28.9%	71.1%			
55–64	23	18	33	89	41	52	130	182	2.84	0.89
	11.3%	8.8%	16.2%	43.6%	20.1%	28.6%	71.4%			
65+	13	12	39	115	70	51	185	236	3.03	0.82
	5.2%	4.8%	15.7%	46.2%	28.1%	21.6%	78.4%			
Total	280	179	368	703	270	549	972	1,522	2.70	0.90
	15.6%	9.9%	20.4%	39.1%	15.0%	35.9	63.9			

Table 2e. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Income Range (Q6)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	26	25	23	38	58	48	96	144	2.89	1.12
	15.3%	14.7%	13.5%	22.4%	34.1%	33.3%	66.7%			
$25K – $50K	32	34	64	97	31	98	128	226	2.55	0.91
	12.4%	13.2%	24.8%	37.6%	12.0%	43.4%	56.6%			
$50K – $75K	26	45	66	114	44	111	158	269	2.58	0.95
	8.8%	15.3%	22.4%	38.6%	14.9%	41.3%	58.7%			
$75K – 100K	38	21	63	91	25	84	116	200	2.60	0.84
	16.0%	8.8%	26.5%	38.2%	10.5%	42.0%	58.0%			
$100K - $150K	71	26	48	111	41	75	152	227	2.74	0.89
	23.9%	8.8%	16.2%	37.4%	13.8%	33.0%	67.0%			
$150K- $200K	22	8	33	86	20	41	106	147	2.81	0.73
	13.0%	4.7%	19.5%	50.9%	11.8%	27.9%	72.1%			
Over 200K	52	5	56	116	27	61	143	204	2.80	0.69
	20.3%	2.0%	21.9%	45.3%	10.5%	29.9%	70.1%			
Decline	13	16	16	48	25	32	74	106	2.79	0.98
	11.0%	13.6%	13.6%	40.7%	21.2%	30.2%	69.8%			
Total	280	180	369	701	271	550	973	1,522	2.70	0.90
	15.5%	10.0%	20.5%	38.9%	15.0%	36.1%	63.9%			

Table 2f. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q6)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	40	17	77	93	43	95	136	231	2.70	0.86
	14.8%	6.3%	28.5%	34.4%	15.9%	41.1%	58.9%			
African American	112	70	73	114	33	142	148	290	2.38	0.98
	27.9%	17.4%	18.2%	28.4%	8.2%	49.0%	51.0%			
Asian	0	0	0	11	0	0	11	11	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	8	12	17	24	0	29	24	52	2.23	0.80
	13.1%	19.7%	27.9%	39.3%	0.0%	54.7%	45.3%			
Other	5	1	6	4	1	7	4	11	2.32	0.77
	29.4%	5.9%	35.3%	23.5%	5.9%	63.6%	36.4%			
Total	165	100	173	246	77	273	323	595	2.50	0.92
	21.7%	13.1%	22.7%	32.3%	10.1%	45.8%	54.2%			

Table 2g. Do a good job working with the community to solve local problems by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q6)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	17	33	26	30	50	56	105	2.65	1.06
	0.0%	16.0%	31.1%	24.5%	28.3%	47.2%	52.8%			
African American	52	35	47	94	45	82	139	220	2.68	0.97
	19.0%	12.8%	17.2%	34.4%	16.5%	37.1%	62.9%			
Asian	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	3.00	0.00
	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	1	6	6	9	13	12	23	35	2.84	1.14
	2.9%	17.1%	17.1%	25.7%	37.1%	34.3%	65.7%			
Other	2	1	1	4	1	2	4	6	2.62	0.94
	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%	66.7%			
Total	58	59	87	136	89	146	225	370	2.69	1.01
	13.5%	13.8%	20.3%	31.7%	20.7%	39.4%	60.6%			

Table 3a. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Ward (Q7)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	68	17	44	115	30	62	145	207	2.76	0.80
	24.8%	6.2%	16.1%	42.0%	10.9%	30.0%	70.0%			
2	70	15	42	105	21	57	126	183	2.72	0.77
	27.7%	5.9%	16.6%	41.5%	8.3%	31.1%	68.9%			
3	67	11	40	145	21	52	166	218	2.81	0.67
	23.6%	3.9%	14.1%	51.1%	7.4%	23.9%	76.1%			
4	61	25	66	88	53	92	141	233	2.72	0.94
	20.8%	8.5%	22.5%	30.0%	18.1%	39.5%	60.5%			
5	50	29	59	119	36	87	155	243	2.67	0.87
	17.1%	9.9%	20.1%	40.6%	12.3%	36.0%	64.0%			
6	54	35	50	108	41	85	149	235	2.66	0.94
	18.8%	12.2%	17.4%	37.5%	14.2%	36.2%	63.8%			
7	50	23	30	81	19	53	100	153	2.63	0.89
	24.6%	11.3%	14.8%	39.9%	9.4%	34.6%	65.4%			
8	38	21	56	56	38	77	94	172	2.65	0.96
	18.2%	10.0%	26.8%	26.8%	18.2%	45.0%	55.0%			
Total	458	176	387	817	259	565	1,077	1,643*	2.71	0.86
	21.8%	8.4%	18.5%	39.0%	12.4%	34.4%	65.6%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 3b. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race/Ethnicity (Q7)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	184	27	127	278	128	154	406	560	2.91	0.80
	24.7%	3.6%	17.1%	37.4%	17.2%	27.5%	72.5%			
African American	211	130	194	397	93	323	489	813	2.56	0.89
	20.6%	12.7%	18.9%	38.7%	9.1%	39.8%	60.2%			
Asian	14	5	11	35	10	16	45	61	2.82	0.81
	18.7%	6.7%	14.7%	46.7%	13.3%	26.2%	73.8%			
Hispanic	38	11	42	95	23	52	118	171	2.76	0.76
	18.2%	5.3%	20.1%	45.5%	11.0%	30.6%	69.4%			
Other	12	5	14	13	6	19	20	38	2.55	0.92
	24.0%	10.0%	28.0%	26.0%	12.0%	48.7%	51.3%			
Total	459	178	388	818	260	564	1,078	1,643*	2.71	0.86
	21.8%	8.5%	18.4%	38.9%	12.4%	34.3%	65.7%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 3c. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Gender (Q7)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	180	89	177	397	151	266	548	815	2.75	0.88
	18.1%	9.0%	17.8%	39.9%	15.2%	32.7%	67.3%			
Female	278	78	208	414	108	286	522	808	2.68	0.82
	25.6%	7.2%	19.2%	38.1%	9.9%	35.4%	64.6%			
Non-Binary	2	10	2	7	0	13	8	21	1.90	1.00
1	9.5%	47.6%	9.5%	33.3%	0.0%	61.9%	38.1%			
Total	460	177	387	818	259	565	1,078	1,643*	2.71	0.86
	21.9%	8.4%	18.4%	38.9%	12.3%	34.4%	65.6%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 3d. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Age (Q7)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	199	93	147	292	116	240	408	648	2.67	0.93
	23.5%	11.0%	17.4%	34.5%	13.7%	37.0%	63.0%			
35–44	93	34	73	145	37	107	182	289	2.64	0.85
	24.3%	8.9%	19.1%	38.0%	9.7%	37.0%	63.0%			
45–54	55	11	69	147	24	80	172	252	2.73	0.69
	18.0%	3.6%	22.5%	48.0%	7.8%	31.7%	68.3%			
55–64	49	20	47	97	45	67	141	209	2.79	0.89
	19.0%	7.8%	18.2%	37.6%	17.4%	32.2%	67.8%			
65+	64	18	52	138	38	70	175	245	2.79	0.79
	20.6%	5.8%	16.8%	44.5%	12.3%	28.6%	71.4%			
Total	460	176	388	819	260	564	1,078	1,643	2.71	0.86
	21.9%	8.4%	18.4%	38.9%	12.4%	34.3%	65.7%			

Table 3e. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Income Range (Q7)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	27	26	17	84	52	43	136	180	2.91	0.98
	13.1%	12.6%	8.3%	40.8%	25.2%	24.0%	76.0%			
$25K – $50K	53	28	42	151	43	70	194	264	2.79	0.84
	16.7%	8.8%	13.2%	47.6%	13.6%	26.5%	73.5%			
$50K – $75K	53	44	71	120	40	115	160	275	2.57	0.93
	16.2%	13.4%	21.6%	36.6%	12.2%	41.8%	58.2%			
$75K – 100K	46	21	84	112	22	105	133	238	2.56	0.78
	16.1%	7.4%	29.5%	39.3%	7.7%	44.1%	55.9%			
$100K - $150K	102	32	77	103	34	109	137	245	2.57	0.89
	29.3%	9.2%	22.1%	29.6%	9.8%	44.3%	55.7%			
$150K- $200K	48	9	31	75	20	40	95	135	2.79	0.78
	26.2%	4.9%	16.9%	41.0%	10.9%	29.6%	70.4%			
Over 200K	88	7	46	119	25	53	143	197	2.82	0.69
	30.9%	2.5%	16.1%	41.8%	8.8%	27.0%	73.0%			
Decline	42	10	20	56	23	29	79	109	2.85	0.86
	27.8%	6.6%	13.2%	37.1%	15.2%	26.9%	73.1%			
Total	459	177	388	820	259	564	1,077	1,643	2.71	0.86
	21.8%	8.4%	18.4%	39.0%	12.3%	34.4%	65.6%			

Table 3f. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q7)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	69	20	65	81	73	85	155	239	2.87	0.95
	22.4%	6.5%	21.1%	26.3%	23.7%	35.4%	64.6%			
African American	111	67	63	158	41	129	199	328	2.53	0.95
	25.2%	15.2%	14.3%	35.9%	9.3%	39.3%	60.7%			
Asian	1	0	0	11	0	0	11	11	3.00	0.00
	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	14	5	15	36	0	20	36	56	2.56	0.66
	20.0%	7.1%	21.4%	51.4%	0.0%	35.7%	64.3%			
Other	5	2	5	5	2	6	7	14	2.54	0.89
	26.3%	10.5%	26.3%	26.3%	10.5%	46.2%	53.8%			
Total	200	94	148	291	116	240	408	648	2.67	0.93
	23.6%	11.1%	17.4%	34.3%	13.7%	37.0%	63.0%			

Table 3g. Share necessary and/or relevant information with the community by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q7)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	15	11	8	33	59	20	92	112	3.25	0.98
	11.9%	8.7%	6.3%	26.2%	46.8%	17.9%	82.1%			
African American	51	37	43	170	29	80	199	279	2.68	0.83
	15.5%	11.2%	13.0%	51.5%	8.8%	28.7%	71.3%			
Asian	3	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	3.00	0.00
	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	9	5	6	24	7	10	31	41	2.80	0.87
	17.6%	9.8%	11.8%	47.1%	13.7%	24.4%	75.6%			
Other	1	1	3	4	1	3	5	8	2.64	0.91
	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	37.5%	62.5%			
Total	79	54	60	235	96	113	331	444	2.84	0.90
	15.1%	10.3%	11.5%	44.8%	18.3%	25.5%	74.5%			

Table 4a. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Ward (Q8)

Ward	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
1	50	4	22	97	103	199	25	225	3.33	0.72
	18.1%	1.4%	8.0%	35.1%	37.3%	88.8%	11.2%			
2	37	4	19	120	73	193	23	217	3.21	0.68
	14.6%	1.6%	7.5%	47.4%	28.9%	89.4%	10.6%			
3	55	1	17	138	74	212	18	230	3.24	0.60
	19.3%	.4%	6.0%	48.4%	26.0%	92.2%	7.8%			
4	30	13	30	110	112	221	43	264	3.21	0.83
	10.2%	4.4%	10.2%	37.3%	38.0%	83.7%	16.3%			
5	43	11	28	113	98	211	39	250	3.20	0.80
	14.7%	3.8%	9.6%	38.6%	33.4%	84.4%	15.6%			
6	60	3	17	105	104	209	20	229	3.36	0.68
	20.8%	1.0%	5.9%	36.3%	36.0%	91.3%	8.7%			
7	29	14	18	71	72	143	32	175	3.15	0.90
	14.2%	6.9%	8.8%	34.8%	35.3%	81.7%	18.3%			
8	33	8	24	62	83	146	32	177	3.25	0.85
	15.7%	3.8%	11.4%	29.5%	39.5%	82.0%	18.0%			
Total	337	58	175	816	719	1,534	232	1,766*	3.24	0.76
	16.0%	2.8%	8.3%	38.8%	34.2%	86.9%	13.1%			

Very Negative and Negative were collapsed as "Negative". Positive and Very Positive were collapsed as "Positive", “Not enough experience” was coded as missing for collapsing and calculating mean, *Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 4b. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race/Ethnicity (Q8)

Race/Ethnicity	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
White	96	18	43	287	301	588	61	649	3.34	0.72
	12.9%	2.4%	5.8%	38.5%	40.4%	90.6%	9.4%			
African American	172	34	106	431	282	713	140	852	3.13	0.77
	16.8%	3.3%	10.3%	42.0%	27.5%	83.6%	16.4%			
Asian	23	0	6	26	21	47	6	53	3.28	0.66
	30.3%	0.0%	7.9%	34.2%	27.6%	88.7%	11.3%			
Hispanic	37	4	14	54	101	154	18	172	3.46	0.74
	17.6%	1.9%	6.7%	25.7%	48.1%	89.5%	10.5%			
Other	10	2	5	18	15	34	7	40	3.18	0.81
	20.0%	4.0%	10.0%	36.0%	30.0%	82.9%	17.1%			
Total	338	58	174	816	720	1,536	232	1,766	3.24	0.76
	16.0%	2.8%	8.3%	38.7%	34.2%	86.9%	13.1%			

Table 4c. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Gender (Q8)

Gender	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
Male	150	28	80	376	361	737	108	845	3.27	0.76
	15.1%	2.8%	8.0%	37.8%	36.3%	87.2%	12.8%			
Female	187	19	91	435	355	789	110	899	3.25	0.72
	17.2%	1.7%	8.4%	40.0%	32.7%	87.8%	12.2%			
Non-Binary	0	10	4	4	4	8	14	22	2.10	1.20
	0.0%	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	63.6%			
Total	337	57	175	815	720	1,534	232	1,766	3.24	0.76
	16.0%	2.7%	8.3%	38.7%	34.2%	86.9%	13.1%			

Table 4d. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Age (Q8)

Age Range	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
18–34	150	32	69	389	207	596	101	697	3.11	0.76
	17.7%	3.8%	8.1%	45.9%	24.4%	85.5%	14.5%			
35–44	66	8	42	143	123	266	50	316	3.20	0.76
	17.3%	2.1%	11.0%	37.4%	32.2%	84.2%	15.8%			
45–54	48	3	29	111	116	227	31	258	3.32	0.71
	15.6%	1.0%	9.4%	36.2%	37.8%	88.0%	12.0%			
55–64	33	6	17	83	119	202	23	224	3.40	0.74
	12.8%	2.3%	6.6%	32.2%	46.1%	89.8%	10.2%			
65+	39	9	17	90	155	245	25	270	3.45	0.75
	12.6%	2.9%	5.5%	29.0%	50.0%	90.7%	9.3%			
Total	336	58	174	816	720	1,536	230	1,766*	3.24	0.76
	16.0%	2.8%	8.3%	38.8%	34.2%	87.0%	13.0%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 4e. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Income Range (Q8)

Income Range	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
Under $25K	53	19	11	51	73	124	30	154	3.16	1.01
	25.6%	9.2%	5.3%	24.6%	35.3%	80.5%	19.5%			
$25K – $50K	52	2	30	140	94	234	32	266	3.23	0.67
	16.4%	.6%	9.4%	44.0%	29.6%	88.0%	12.0%			
$50K – $75K	52	12	37	122	105	227	49	276	3.16	0.82
	15.9%	3.7%	11.3%	37.2%	32.0%	82.2%	17.8%			
$75K – 100K	34	8	36	101	106	206	44	251	3.21	0.81
	11.9%	2.8%	12.6%	35.4%	37.2%	82.4%	17.6%			
$100K - $150K	56	5	19	162	106	267	24	291	3.27	0.65
	16.1%	1.4%	5.5%	46.6%	30.5%	91.8%	8.2%			
$150K- $200K	30	2	13	73	65	138	14	153	3.32	0.68
	16.4%	1.1%	7.1%	39.9%	35.5%	90.8%	9.2%			
Over 200K	36	2	23	109	114	223	25	249	3.35	0.68
	12.7%	.7%	8.1%	38.4%	40.1%	89.9%	10.1%			
Decline	24	7	5	58	56	114	12	126	3.29	0.79
	16.0%	4.7%	3.3%	38.7%	37.3%	90.5%	9.5%			
Total	337	57	174	816	719	1,533	230	1,766	3.24	0.76
	16.0%	2.7%	8.3%	38.8%	34.2%	87.0%	13.0%			

Table 4f. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q8)

Race/Ethnicity	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
White	40	12	24	124	108	232	36	268	3.22	0.79
	13.0%	3.9%	7.8%	40.3%	35.1%	86.6%	13.4%			
African American	83	18	33	223	82	305	51	356	3.03	0.73
	18.9%	4.1%	7.5%	50.8%	18.7%	85.7%	14.3%			
Asian	1	0	0	11	0	11	0	11	3.00	0.00
	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	91.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Hispanic	22	2	9	23	14	37	11	47	3.02	0.81
	31.4%	2.9%	12.9%	32.9%	20.0%	77.1%	22.9%			
Other	4	0	3	7	4	11	3	14	3.08	0.72
	22.2%	0.0%	16.7%	38.9%	22.2%	78.6%	21.4%			
Total	150	32	69	388	208	596	101	697*	3.11	0.76
	17.7%	3.8%	8.1%	45.8%	24.6%	85.5%	14.5%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 4g. Interactions with police officers in routine, non-emergency situations by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q8)

Race/Ethnicity	Not enough exp.	Very Negative	Negative	Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Negative	N	M	SD
White	23	11	6	44	43	87	18	105	3.13	0.95
	18.1%	8.7%	4.7%	34.6%	33.9%	82.9%	17.1%			
African American	64	8	30	130	98	228	38	265	3.19	0.75
	19.4%	2.4%	9.1%	39.4%	29.7%	85.7%	14.3%			
Asian	0	0	0	6	1	7	0	7	3.20	0.43
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%			
Hispanic	15	1	4	9	21	31	5	36	3.43	0.82
	30.0%	2.0%	8.0%	18.0%	42.0%	86.1%	13.9%			
Other	3	0	1	2	3	6	1	7	3.28	0.91
	33.3%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	85.7%	14.3%			
Total	105	20	41	191	166	359	62	420	3.20	0.81
	20.1%	3.8%	7.8%	36.5%	31.7%	85.3%	14.7%			

Table 5a. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Ward (Q9)

Ward	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
1	100	41	37	26	61	2	267
	37.5%	15.4%	13.9%	9.7%	22.8%	.7%	
2	64	75	18	20	63	5	245
	26.1%	30.6%	7.3%	8.2%	25.7%	2.0%	
3	107	73	11	14	75	4	284
	37.7%	25.7%	3.9%	4.9%	26.4%	1.4%	
4	97	46	35	33	69	8	288
	33.7%	16.0%	12.2%	11.5%	24.0%	2.8%	
5	86	68	30	30	68	8	290
	29.7%	23.4%	10.3%	10.3%	23.4%	2.8%	
6	88	61	6	33	94	2	284
	31.0%	21.5%	2.1%	11.6%	33.1%	.7%	
7	58	33	6	10	83	9	199
	29.1%	16.6%	3.0%	5.0%	41.7%	4.5%	
8	87	31	9	12	61	4	204
	42.6%	15.2%	4.4%	5.9%	29.9%	2.0%	
Total	687	428	152	178	574	42	2,061
	33.3%	20.8%	7.4%	8.6%	27.9%	2.0%	

Table 5b. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race/Ethnicity (Q9)

Race/Ethnicity	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
White	269	169	70	34	186	9	737
	36.5%	22.9%	9.5%	4.6%	25.2%	1.2%	
African American	302	182	65	120	306	26	1,001
	30.2%	18.2%	6.5%	12.0%	30.6%	2.6%	
Asian	21	29	6	7	11	0	74
	28.4%	39.2%	8.1%	9.5%	14.9%	0.0%	
Hispanic	82	40	6	12	55	4	199
	41.2%	20.1%	3.0%	6.0%	27.6%	2.0%	
Other	15	8	4	4	15	3	49
	30.6%	16.3%	8.2%	8.2%	30.6%	6.1%	
Total	689	428	151	177	573	42	2,060
	33.4%	20.8%	7.3%	8.6%	27.8%	2.0%	

Table 5c. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Gender (Q9)

Gender	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
Male	359	198	66	98	240	18	979
	36.7%	20.2%	6.7%	10.0%	24.5%	1.8%	
Female	326	224	86	79	318	23	1,056
	30.9%	21.2%	8.1%	7.5%	30.1%	2.2%	
Non-Binary	3	5	0	0	14	0	22
	13.6%	22.7%	0.0%	0.0%	63.6%	.0%	
Total	688	427	152	177	572	41	2,057
	33.4%	20.8%	7.4%	8.6%	27.8%	2.0%	

Table 5d. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Age (Q9)

Age Range	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
18–34	256	165	85	81	252	3	842
	30.4%	19.6%	10.1%	9.6%	29.9%	.4%	
35–44	134	103	18	47	71	3	376
	35.6%	27.4%	4.8%	12.5%	18.9%	0.8%	
45–54	95	60	16	18	101	12	302
	31.5%	19.9%	5.3%	6.0%	33.4%	4.0%	
55–64	95	44	11	17	72	12	251
	37.8%	17.5%	4.4%	6.8%	28.7%	4.8%	
65+	109	55	22	14	75	10	285
	38.2%	19.3%	7.7%	4.9%	26.3%	3.5%	
Total	689	427	152	177	571	40	2,056
	33.5%	20.8%	7.4%	8.6%	27.8%	1.9%	

Table 5e. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Income Range (Q9)

Income Range	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
Under $25K	87	45	15	10	32	4	193
	45.1%	23.3%	7.8%	5.2%	16.6%	2.1%	
$25K – $50K	94	68	36	33	72	5	308
	30.5%	22.1%	11.7%	10.7%	23.4%	1.6%	
$50K – $75K	99	72	41	29	67	11	319
	31.0%	22.6%	12.9%	9.1%	21.0%	3.4%	
$75K – 100K	91	39	13	30	104	4	281
	32.4%	13.9%	4.6%	10.7%	37.0%	1.4%	
$100K - $150K	116	71	18	23	107	9	344
	33.7%	20.6%	5.2%	6.7%	31.1%	2.6%	
$150K- $200K	51	40	8	15	69	0	183
	27.9%	21.9%	4.4%	8.2%	37.7%	.0%	
Over 200K	120	61	15	27	60	1	284
	42.3%	21.5%	5.3%	9.5%	21.1%	.4%	
Decline	31	33	7	9	60	8	148
	20.9%	22.3%	4.7%	6.1%	40.5%	5.4%	
Total	689	429	153	176	571	42	2,060
	33.4%	20.8%	7.4%	8.5%	27.7%	2.0%	

Table 5f. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q9)

Race/Ethnicity	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
White	113	64	44	14	68	0	303
	37.3%	21.1%	14.5%	4.6%	22.4%	.0%	
African American	117	80	35	56	149	3	440
	26.6%	18.2%	8.0%	12.7%	33.9%	0.7%	
Asian	1	6	0	0	5	0	12
	8.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	0.0%	
Hispanic	20	13	4	8	24	0	69
	29.0%	18.8%	5.8%	11.6%	34.8%	.0%	
Other	4	2	2	2	7	1	18
	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	38.9%	5.6%	
Total	255	165	85	80	253	4	842
	30.3%	19.6%	10.1%	9.5%	30.0%	.5%	

Table 5g. Shape opinions about police in D.C. overall by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q9)

Race/Ethnicity	Non-Emergency	Emergency	Media	Police stops	All	None	Total
White	44	27	32	3	19	0	125
	35.2%	21.6%	25.6%	2.4%	15.2%	.0%	
African American	117	62	14	41	78	7	319
	36.7%	19.4%	4.4%	12.9%	24.5%	2.2%	
Asian	4	0	3	0	0	0	7
	57.1%	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Hispanic	12	22	3	0	4	1	42
	28.6%	52.4%	7.1%	0.0%	9.5%	2.4%	
Other	3	2	0	0	3	1	9
	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	11.1%	
Total	180	113	52	44	104	9	502
	35.9%	22.5%	10.4%	8.8%	20.7%	1.8%	

Table 6a. Obligation to obey the police by Ward (Q10)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	5	5	21	145	99	26	244	270	3.25	0.68
	1.8%	1.8%	7.6%	52.7%	36.0%	9.6%	90.4%			
2	5	1	9	125	114	10	238	249	3.41	0.58
	2.0%	.4%	3.5%	49.2%	44.9%	4.0%	96.0%			
3	21	0	22	133	109	22	242	264	3.33	0.63
	7.4%	0.0%	7.7%	46.7%	38.2%	8.3%	91.7%			
4	13	7	20	189	65	27	254	281	3.11	0.63
	4.4%	2.4%	6.8%	64.3%	22.1%	9.6%	90.4%			
5	14	13	24	158	85	36	243	279	3.13	0.74
	4.8%	4.4%	8.2%	53.7%	28.9%	12.9%	87.1%			
6	9	4	19	119	138	23	257	280	3.40	0.68
	3.1%	1.4%	6.6%	41.2%	47.8%	8.2%	91.8%			
7	11	3	17	80	93	20	173	193	3.36	0.72
	5.4%	1.5%	8.3%	39.2%	45.6%	10.4%	89.6%			
8	23	5	16	91	75	21	166	187	3.27	0.72
	11.0%	2.4%	7.6%	43.3%	35.7%	11.2%	88.8%			
Total	101	38	148	1,040	778	185	1,817	2,003	3.28	0.68
	4.8%	1.8%	7.0%	49.4%	37.0%	9.2%	90.8%			

Table 6b. Obligation to obey the police by Race/Ethnicity (Q10)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	25	7	45	366	302	52	667	720	3.34	0.64
	3.4%	.9%	6.0%	49.1%	40.5%	7.2%	92.8%			
African American	56	27	81	505	356	108	861	969	3.23	0.71
	5.5%	2.6%	7.9%	49.3%	34.7%	11.1%	88.9%			
Asian	6	0	0	43	27	0	70	70	3.39	0.49
	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	56.6%	35.5%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	12	3	17	96	80	20	176	196	3.29	0.69
	5.8%	1.4%	8.2%	46.2%	38.5%	10.2%	89.8%			
Other	3	1	4	29	14	5	43	48	3.16	0.68
	5.9%	2.0%	7.8%	56.9%	27.5%	10.4%	89.6%			
Total	102	38	147	1,039	779	185	1,817	2,003	3.28	0.68
	4.8%	1.8%	7.0%	49.4%	37.0%	9.2%	90.8%			

Table 6c. Obligation to obey the police by Gender (Q10)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	26	18	51	506	394	69	900	969	3.32	0.66
	2.6%	1.8%	5.1%	50.9%	39.6%	7.1%	92.9%			
Female	74	20	91	529	372	111	901	1012	3.24	0.69
	6.8%	1.8%	8.4%	48.7%	34.3%	11.0%	89.0%			
Non-Binary	1	1	5	3	13	6	16	22	3.28	0.96
	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%	27.3%	72.7%			
Total	101	39	147	1,038	779	186	1,817	2,003	3.28	0.68
	4.8%	1.9%	7.0%	49.3%	37.0%	9.3%	90.7%			

Table 6d. Obligation to obey the police by Age (Q10)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	42	24	95	424	263	118	687	805	3.15	0.73
	5.0%	2.8%	11.2%	50.0%	31.0%	14.7%	85.3%			
35–44	22	7	20	211	123	27	334	360	3.25	0.64
	5.7%	1.8%	5.2%	55.1%	32.1%	7.5%	92.5%			
45–54	13	2	11	164	117	13	280	293	3.35	0.58
	4.2%	.7%	3.6%	53.4%	38.1%	4.4%	95.6%			
55–64	7	1	13	96	141	14	237	251	3.50	0.62
	2.7%	.4%	5.0%	37.2%	54.7%	5.6%	94.4%			
65+	16	5	9	144	135	14	279	293	3.40	0.64
	5.2%	1.6%	2.9%	46.6%	43.7%	4.8%	95.2%			
Total	100	39	148	1,039	779	186	1,817	2,003*	3.28	0.68
	4.8%	1.9%	7.0%	49.4%	37.0%	9.3%	90.7%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 6e. Obligation to obey the police by Income Range (Q10)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	6	4	32	70	95	36	165	201	3.28	0.80
	2.9%	1.9%	15.5%	33.8%	45.9%	17.9%	82.1%			
$25K – $50K	28	4	19	190	76	24	266	290	3.16	0.60
	8.8%	1.3%	6.0%	59.9%	24.0%	8.3%	91.7%			
$50K – $75K	14	10	20	145	139	30	284	314	3.32	0.73
	4.3%	3.0%	6.1%	44.2%	42.4%	9.6%	90.4%			
$75K – 100K	20	4	16	140	105	21	244	265	3.30	0.66
	7.0%	1.4%	5.6%	49.1%	36.8%	7.9%	92.1%			
$100K - $150K	10	1	13	173	149	15	322	337	3.40	0.59
	2.9%	.3%	3.8%	50.0%	43.1%	4.5%	95.5%			
$150K- $200K	2	3	21	105	53	24	157	181	3.14	0.68
	1.1%	1.6%	11.4%	57.1%	28.8%	13.3%	86.7%			
Over 200K	9	5	15	150	105	21	256	276	3.29	0.66
	3.2%	1.8%	5.3%	52.8%	37.0%	7.6%	92.4%			
Decline	12	6	11	66	56	16	122	139	3.25	0.77
	7.9%	4.0%	7.3%	43.7%	37.1%	11.6%	88.4%			
Total	101	37	147	1,039	778	187	1,816	2,003	3.28	0.68
	4.8%	1.8%	7.0%	49.4%	37.0%	9.3%	90.7%			

Table 6f. Obligation to obey the police by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q10)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	16	6	33	155	98	39	253	292	3.18	0.71
	5.2%	1.9%	10.7%	50.3%	31.8%	13.4%	86.6%			
African American	20	16	50	212	142	65	353	419	3.14	0.77
	4.5%	3.6%	11.4%	48.2%	32.3%	15.6%	84.4%			
Asian	0	0	0	6	6	0	12	12	3.50	0.52
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	5	1	10	39	14	11	53	64	3.03	0.67
	7.2%	1.4%	14.5%	56.5%	20.3%	17.2%	82.8%			
Other	1	1	2	12	2	3	14	17	2.92	0.68
	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	17.6%	82.4%			
Total	42	24	95	424	262	118	685	805*	3.15	0.73
	5.0%	2.8%	11.2%	50.1%	30.9%	14.7%	85.3%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 6g. Obligation to obey the police by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q10)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	1	0	11	59	57	11	116	127	3.37	0.63
	.8%	0.0%	8.6%	46.1%	44.5%	8.7%	91.3%			
African American	31	8	35	168	89	42	256	299	3.09	0.71
	9.4%	2.4%	10.6%	50.8%	26.9%	14.1%	85.9%			
Asian	0	0	0	7	1	0	7	7	3.13	0.31
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	2	0	5	22	22	5	44	49	3.35	0.65
	3.9%	0.0%	9.8%	43.1%	43.1%	10.2%	89.8%			
Other	1	0	2	5	2	2	7	9	2.95	0.85
	10.0%	0.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	22.2%	77.8%			
Total	35	8	53	261	171	60	430	490	3.21	0.69
	6.6%	1.5%	10.0%	49.4%	32.4%	12.2%	87.8%			

Table 7a. Comply lawful request by Ward (Q11)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	21	4	16	140	94	20	234	254	3.28	0.65
	7.6%	1.5%	5.8%	50.9%	34.2%	7.9%	92.1%			
2	10	2	9	158	74	11	232	243	3.25	0.56
	4.0%	.8%	3.6%	62.5%	29.2%	4.5%	95.5%			
3	47	0	1	109	128	1	237	238	3.53	0.51
	16.5%	0.0%	0.4%	38.2%	44.9%	0.4%	99.6%			
4	14	7	35	145	93	42	238	280	3.16	0.73
	4.8%	2.4%	11.9%	49.3%	31.6%	15.0%	85.0%			
5	11	7	26	165	84	33	249	282	3.16	0.69
	3.8%	2.4%	8.9%	56.3%	28.7%	11.7%	88.3%			
6	13	3	9	142	122	12	264	276	3.38	0.61
	4.5%	1.0%	3.1%	49.1%	42.2%	4.3%	95.7%			
7	6	1	20	121	56	21	177	197	3.17	0.61
	2.9%	0.5%	9.8%	59.3%	27.5%	10.6%	89.4%			
8	19	2	9	92	89	11	180	191	3.40	0.62
	9.0%	0.9%	4.3%	43.6%	42.2%	5.8%	94.2%			
Total	141	26	125	1,072	740	151	1,811	1,962*	3.29	0.64
	6.7%	1.2%	5.9%	51.0%	35.2%	7.7%	92.3%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 7b. Comply lawful request by Race/Ethnicity (Q11)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	51	4	65	335	289	68	625	693	3.31	0.66
	6.9%	.5%	8.7%	45.0%	38.8%	9.8%	90.2%			
African American	68	20	44	551	341	64	892	956	3.27	0.65
	6.6%	2.0%	4.3%	53.8%	33.3%	6.7%	93.3%			
Asian	1	0	2	51	22	2	72	74	3.27	0.50
	1.3%	0.0%	2.6%	67.1%	28.9%	2.7%	97.3%			
Hispanic	17	1	12	108	70	13	178	191	3.29	0.60
	8.2%	0.5%	5.8%	51.9%	33.7%	6.8%	93.2%			
Other	3	0	3	26	18	4	43	47	3.28	0.65
	6.0%	0.0%	6.0%	52.0%	36.0%	8.5%	91.5%			
Total	140	25	126	1,071	740	151	1,810	1,962*	3.29	0.64
	6.7%	1.2%	6.0%	51.0%	35.2%	7.7%	92.3%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 7c. Comply lawful request by Gender (Q11)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	43	12	30	497	413	42	910	952	3.38	0.61
	4.3%	1.2%	3.0%	49.9%	41.5%	4.4%	95.6%			
Female	98	13	86	568	321	99	889	988	3.21	0.65
	9.0%	1.2%	7.9%	52.3%	29.6%	10.0%	90.0%			
Non-Binary	0	0	10	7	5	11	12	22	2.70	0.84
	0.0%	0.0%	45.5%	31.8%	22.7%	47.8%	52.2%			
Total	141	25	126	1,072	739	152	1,811	1,962	3.29	0.64
	6.7%	1.2%	6.0%	51.0%	35.1%	7.7%	92.3%			

Table 7d. Comply lawful request by Age (Q11)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	79	16	81	398	272	98	670	768	3.21	0.71
	9.3%	1.9%	9.6%	47.0%	32.2%	12.8%	87.2%			
35–44	14	3	16	239	110	19	349	368	3.24	0.57
	3.7%	0.8%	4.2%	62.6%	28.8%	5.2%	94.8%			
45–54	10	1	11	162	122	12	284	297	3.37	0.57
	3.3%	.3%	3.6%	52.9%	39.9%	4.1%	95.9%			
55–64	10	1	9	117	121	10	237	247	3.44	0.59
	3.9%	.4%	3.5%	45.3%	46.9%	4.0%	96.0%			
65+	27	4	8	156	114	12	270	282	3.35	0.61
	8.7%	1.3%	2.6%	50.5%	36.9%	4.3%	95.7%			
Total	140	25	125	1,072	739	151	1,810	1,962	3.29	0.64
	6.7%	1.2%	5.9%	51.0%	35.2%	7.7%	92.3%			

Table 7e. Comply lawful request by Income Range (Q11)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	20	4	14	90	79	17	169	186	3.31	069
	9.7%	1.9%	6.8%	43.5%	38.2%	9.1%	90.9%			
$25K – $50K	39	4	40	123	112	43	235	278	3.23	0.74
	12.3%	1.3%	12.6%	38.7%	35.2%	15.5%	84.5%			
$50K – $75K	7	10	21	181	109	31	290	321	3.22	0.69
	2.1%	3.0%	6.4%	55.2%	33.2%	9.7%	90.3%			
$75K – 100K	5	0	14	151	114	14	265	280	3.36	0.58
	1.8%	0.0%	4.9%	53.2%	40.1%	5.0%	95.0%			
$100K - $150K	29	1	10	200	108	11	308	318	3.30	0.54
	8.3%	.3%	2.9%	57.5%	31.0%	3.4%	96.6%			
$150K- $200K	17	2	7	99	59	9	158	167	3.29	0.60
	9.2%	1.1%	3.8%	53.8%	32.1%	5.4%	94.6%			
Over 200K	14	0	11	139	122	11	260	271	3.41	0.57
	4.9%	0.0%	3.8%	48.6%	42.7%	4.1%	95.9%			
Decline	10	6	10	89	36	16	125	141	3.11	0.69
	6.6%	4.0%	6.6%	58.9%	23.8%	11.3%	88.7%			
Total	141	27	127	1,072	739	152	1,810	1,962	3.29	0.64
	6.7%	1.3%	6.0%	50.9%	35.1%	7.7%	92.3%			

NOTE: Residents with household incomes between $50,001 and $75,000 annually were *less likely* (90.3%) than residents falling within the $100,001-$150,00 annual income bracket (96.6%) to believe they should comply with a lawful request by police, even if they disagree, $z = 3.13$, $p < .01$.

Table 7f. Comply lawful request by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q11)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	27	4	56	105	116	60	221	281	3.19	0.80
	8.8%	1.3%	18.2%	34.1%	37.7%	21.4%	78.6%			
African American	45	12	16	226	140	28	366	394	3.25	0.68
	10.3%	2.7%	3.6%	51.5%	31.9%	7.1%	92.9%			
Asian	0	0	0	12	0	0	12	12	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	7	0	8	44	10	8	54	62	3.03	0.54
	10.1%	0.0%	11.6%	63.8%	14.5%	12.9%	87.1%			
Other	0	0	2	10	6	2	16	18	3.19	0.69
	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	88.9%			
Total	79	16	82	397	272	98	669	768*	3.21	0.71
	9.3%	1.9%	9.7%	46.9%	32.2%	12.8%	87.2%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 7g. Comply lawful request by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q11)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	6	2	37	37	46	39	82	122	3.04	0.87
	4.7%	1.6%	28.9%	28.9%	35.9%	32.2%	67.8%			
African American	43	5	15	139	127	20	266	286	3.35	0.67
	13.1%	1.5%	4.6%	42.2%	38.6%	7.0%	93.0%			
Asian	1	0	0	6	1	0	7	7	3.10	0.33
	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	12.5%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	10	0	1	26	14	1	40	41	3.34	0.51
	19.6%	0.0%	2.0%	51.0%	27.5%	2.4%	97.6%			
Other	1	0	1	5	3	1	8	9	3.21	0.64
	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	11.1%	88.9%			
Total	61	7	54	213	191	61	403	464*	3.26	0.72
	11.6%	1.3%	10.3%	40.5%	36.3%	13.1%	86.9%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 8a. Provide information about criminal activity by Ward (Q12)

Ward	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
1	21	7	28	97	123	35	219	255	3.32	0.77
	7.6%	2.5%	10.1%	35.1%	44.6%	13.8%	86.2%			
2	16	2	7	78	150	10	228	237	3.58	0.60
	6.3%	.8%	2.8%	30.8%	59.3%	4.2%	95.8%			
3	6	1	22	72	184	22	257	279	3.58	0.65
	2.1%	0.4%	7.7%	25.3%	64.6%	7.9%	92.1%			
4	11	39	23	82	139	62	221	283	3.14	1.05
	3.7%	13.3%	7.8%	27.9%	47.3%	21.9%	78.1%			
5	12	11	15	104	152	25	256	281	3.41	0.76
	4.1%	3.7%	5.1%	35.4%	51.7%	8.9%	91.1%			
6	4	8	27	102	147	35	249	284	3.36	0.77
	1.4%	2.8%	9.4%	35.4%	51.0%	12.3%	87.7%			
7	14	7	25	64	95	32	158	190	3.30	0.83
	6.8%	3.4%	12.2%	31.2%	46.3%	16.8%	83.2%			
8	35	18	11	56	90	29	146	175	3.24	0.97
	16.7%	8.6%	5.2%	26.7%	42.9%	16.6%	83.4%			
Total	119	93	158	655	1,080	250	1,734	1,985*	3.37	0.82
	5.7%	4.4%	7.5%	31.1%	51.3%	12.6%	87.4%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 8b. Provide information about criminal activity by Race/Ethnicity (Q12)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
White	43	28	57	211	406	85	617	701	3.42	0.80
	5.8%	3.8%	7.7%	28.3%	54.5%	12.1%	87.9%			
African American	54	59	87	350	474	145	825	970	3.28	0.86
	5.3%	5.8%	8.5%	34.2%	46.3%	14.9%	85.1%			
Asian	5	0	1	27	43	1	70	71	3.59	0.52
	6.6%	0.0%	1.3%	35.5%	56.6%	1.4%	98.6%			
Hispanic	12	5	7	50	135	12	184	197	3.60	0.69
	5.7%	2.4%	3.3%	23.9%	64.6%	6.1%	93.9%			
Other	4	2	6	17	22	7	39	46	3.29	0.82
	7.8%	3.9%	11.8%	33.3%	43.1%	15.2%	84.8%			
Total	118	94	158	655	1,080	250	1,735	1,985	3.37	0.82
	5.6%	4.5%	7.5%	31.1%	51.3%	12.6%	87.4%			

Table 8c. Provide information about criminal activity by Gender (Q12)

Gender	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
Male	73	36	63	290	533	99	823	922	3.43	0.79
	7.3%	3.6%	6.3%	29.1%	53.6%	10.7%	89.3%			
Female	45	56	82	363	541	138	903	1041	3.33	0.84
	4.1%	5.2%	7.5%	33.4%	49.8%	13.3%	86.7%			
Non-Binary	0	1	13	2	7	14	8	22	2.63	0.97
	0.0%	4.3%	56.5%	8.7%	30.4%	63.6%	36.4%			
Total	118	93	158	655	1,081	251	1,734	1,985	3.37	0.82
	5.6%	4.4%	7.5%	31.1%	51.4%	12.6%	87.4%			

Table 8d. Provide information about criminal activity by Age (Q12)

Age Range	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
18–34	64	68	80	315	321	148	636	783	3.13	0.92
	7.5%	8.0%	9.4%	37.1%	37.9%	18.9%	81.1%			
35–44	25	10	43	118	186	52	305	357	3.35	0.79
	6.5%	2.6%	11.3%	30.9%	48.7%	14.6%	85.4%			
45–54	14	4	17	84	187	21	272	292	3.56	0.66
	4.6%	1.3%	5.6%	27.5%	61.1%	7.2%	92.8%			
55–64	4	8	9	57	180	16	237	254	3.61	0.70
	1.6%	3.1%	3.5%	22.1%	69.8%	6.3%	93.7%			
65+	11	4	9	80	205	13	285	298	3.63	0.61
	3.6%	1.3%	2.9%	25.9%	66.3%	4.4%	95.6%			
Total	118	94	158	654	1,079	250	1,735	1,985*	3.37	0.82
	5.6%	4.5%	7.5%	31.1%	51.3%	12.6%	87.4%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 8e. Provide information about criminal activity by Income Range (Q12)

Income Range	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
Under $25K	42	13	15	76	60	28	136	164	3.11	0.88
	20.4%	6.3%	7.3%	36.9%	29.1%	17.1%	82.9%			
$25K – $50K	26	39	37	86	131	76	216	292	3.06	1.05
	8.2%	12.2%	11.6%	27.0%	41.1%	26.0%	74.0%			
$50K – $75K	14	20	23	108	163	42	272	314	3.32	0.86
	4.3%	6.1%	7.0%	32.9%	49.7%	13.4%	86.6%			
$75K – 100K	5	9	32	93	147	41	239	280	3.35	0.81
	1.7%	3.1%	11.2%	32.5%	51.4%	14.6%	85.4%			
$100K - $150K	16	6	24	99	202	30	301	331	3.50	0.71
	4.6%	1.7%	6.9%	28.5%	58.2%	9.1%	90.9%			
$150K- $200K	5	3	9	64	103	12	167	179	3.49	0.67
	2.7%	1.6%	4.9%	34.8%	56.0%	6.7%	93.3%			
Over 200K	1	0	14	83	187	14	270	284	3.61	0.58
	0.4%	0.0%	4.9%	29.1%	65.6%	4.9%	95.1%			
Decline	10	3	4	45	88	8	133	141	3.55	0.68
	6.7%	2.0%	2.7%	30.0%	58.7%	5.7%	94.3%			
Total	119	93	158	654	1,081	251	1,734	1,985	3.37	0.82
	5.7%	4.4%	7.5%	31.1%	51.4%	12.6%	87.4%			

Table 8f. Provide information about criminal activity by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q12)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
White	39	27	36	100	106	63	207	269	3.06	0.96
	12.7%	8.8%	11.7%	32.5%	34.4%	23.3%	76.7%			
African American	22	37	41	173	167	77	341	418	3.13	0.91
	5.0%	8.4%	9.3%	39.3%	38.0%	18.4%	81.6%			
Asian	0	0	0	7	5	0	12	12	3.41	0.51
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.3%	41.7%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	2	4	0	27	37	4	64	68	3.44	0.77
	2.9%	5.7%	0.0%	38.6%	52.9%	5.9%	94.1%			
Other	2	1	3	8	5	4	12	16	2.96	0.86
	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	42.1%	26.3%	25.0%	75.0%			
Total	65	69	80	315	320	148	636	783	3.13	0.92
	7.7%	8.1%	9.4%	37.1%	37.7%	18.9%	81.1%			

Table 8g. Provide information about criminal activity by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q12)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely	Unlikely	Likely	N	M	SD
White	34	25	12	22	35	37	57	94	2.71	1.22
	26.6%	19.5%	9.4%	17.2%	27.3%	39.4%	60.6%			
African American	24	23	33	123	125	57	249	305	3.15	0.90
	7.3%	7.0%	10.1%	37.5%	38.1%	18.6%	81.4%			
Asian	0	0	0	4	3	0	7	7	3.45	0.54
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	9	3	4	9	26	7	34	41	3.38	0.96
	17.6%	5.9%	7.8%	17.6%	51.0%	17.1%	82.9%			
Other	1	0	3	3	2	3	5	9	2.80	0.90
	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	37.5%	62.5%			
Total	68	51	52	161	191	104	352	456	3.08	0.99
	13.0%	9.8%	9.9%	30.8%	36.5%	22.8%	77.2%			

Table 9a. Recent interaction police agency by Ward (Q14)

Ward	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
1	43	0	4	1	0	1	49
	87.8%	0.0%	8.2%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	
2	37	0	0	4	0	1	42
	88.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	2.4%	
3	26	0	0	0	0	0	26
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
4	54	2	0	1	0	0	57
	94.7%	3.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
5	40	0	1	8	0	0	49
	81.6%	0.0%	2.0%	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
6	36	4	2	0	0	2	44
	81.8%	9.1%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	
7	17	2	0	0	0	0	19
	89.5%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
8	23	0	2	1	0	0	26
	88.5%	0.0%	7.7%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	276	8	9	15	0	4	312
	88.5%	2.6%	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	1.3%	

Table 9b. Recent interaction police agency by Race/Ethnicity (Q14)

Race/Ethnicity	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
White	36	4	1	6	0	3	50
	72.0%	8.0%	2.0%	12.0%	0.0%	6.0%	
African American	197	4	9	8	0	1	219
	90.0%	1.8%	4.1%	3.7%	0.0%	0.5%	
Asian	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Hispanic	28	1	0	1	0	0	30
	93.3%	3.3%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	275	9	10	15	0	4	313
	87.9%	2.9%	3.2%	4.8%	0.0%	1.3%	

Table 9c. Recent interaction police agency by Gender (Q14)

Gender	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
Male	178	3	9	9	0	2	201
	88.6%	1.5%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	1.0%	
Female	93	7	1	5	0	3	109
	85.3%	6.4%	0.9%	4.6%	0.0%	2.8%	
Non-Binary	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	275	10	10	14	0	5	314
	87.6%	3.2%	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%	1.6%	

Table 9d. Recent interaction police agency by Age (Q14)

Age Range	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
18–34	154	4	2	9	0	0	169
	91.1%	2.4%	1.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
35–44	48	3	0	1	0	0	52
	92.3%	5.8%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
45–54	36	1	5	1	0	3	46
	78.3%	2.2%	10.9%	2.2%	0.0%	6.5%	
55–64	27	1	0	4	0	0	32
	84.4%	3.1%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
65+	11	0	2	0	0	1	14
	78.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	
Total	276	9	9	15	0	4	313
	88.2%	2.9%	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	1.3%	

Table 9e. Recent interaction police agency by Income Range (Q14)

Income Range	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
Under $25K	18	2	2	1	0	0	23
	78.3%	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
$25K – $50K	24	1	5	8	0	0	38
	63.2%	2.6%	13.2%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
$50K – $75K	99	0	0	1	0	3	103
	96.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	2.9%	
$75K – 100K	28	1	0	4	0	1	34
	82.4%	2.9%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	2.9%	
$100K - $150K	41	2	0	1	0	0	44
	93.2%	4.5%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
$150K- $200K	19	1	0	0	0	0	20
	95.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Over 200K	26	2	0	0	0	0	28
	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Decline	21	0	2	0	0	0	23
	91.3%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	276	9	9	15	0	4	313
	88.2%	2.9%	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	1.3%	

Table 9f. Recent interaction police agency by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q14)

Race/Ethnicity	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
White	9	2	0	5	–	–	16
	56.3%	12.5%	0.0%	31.3%	–	–	
African American	122	2	2	4	–	–	130
	93.8%	1.5%	1.5%	3.1%	–	–	
Asian	7	0	0	0	–	–	7
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	–	–	
Hispanic	12	0	0	0	–	–	12
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	–	–	
Other	3	0	0	0	–	–	3
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	–	–	
Total	153	4	2	9	–	–	168
	91.1%	2.4%	1.2%	5.4%	–	–	

Table 9g. Recent interaction police agency by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q14)

Race/Ethnicity	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	Total
White	1	0	1	5	0	Different	Total
	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%	0.0%	–	
African American	30	2	7	4	0	–	43
	69.8%	4.7%	16.3%	9.3%	0.0%	–	
Asian	10	0	0	0	0	–	10
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	–	
Hispanic	–	–	–	–	–	–	
	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Other	2	0	0	0	0	–	2
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	–	
Total	43	2	8	9	0	–	62
	69.4%	3.2%	12.9%	14.5%	0.0%	–	

Table 10a. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Ward (Q15)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	0	6	6	19	23	12	43	55	3.11	0.99
	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	35.2%	42.6%	21.8%	78.2%			
2	0	22	1	7	11	23	18	41	2.19	1.34
	0.0%	53.7%	2.4%	17.1%	26.8%	56.1%	43.9%			
3	0	0	1	5	21	1	26	27	3.74	0.52
	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	18.5%	77.8%	3.7%	96.3%			
4	0	16	14	12	18	30	30	60	2.54	1.19
	0.0%	26.7%	23.3%	20.0%	30.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
5	0	6	9	20	16	14	36	50	2.93	0.98
	0.0%	11.8%	17.6%	39.2%	31.4%	28.0%	72.0%			
6	0	7	12	12	14	19	26	45	2.73	1.07
	0.0%	15.6%	26.7%	26.7%	31.1%	42.2%	57.8%			
7	2	2	2	7	7	4	14	18	3.09	0.98
	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	35.0%	22.2%	77.8%			
8	0	7	3	11	5	10	17	26	2.57	1.10
	0.0%	26.9%	11.5%	42.3%	19.2%	37.0%	63.0%			
Total	2	66	48	93	115	113	210	322	2.81	1.13
	0.6%	20.4%	14.8%	28.7%	35.5%	35.0%	65.0%			

Table 10b. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race/Ethnicity (Q15)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	5	4	19	24	9	43	52	3.19	0.94
	0.0%	9.6%	7.7%	36.5%	46.2%	17.3%	82.7%			
African American	0	52	36	58	78	88	137	225	2.72	1.17
	0.0%	23.2%	16.1%	25.9%	34.8%	39.1%	60.9%			
Asian	0	0	1	7	0	1	7	8	2.90	0.32
	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%			
Hispanic	2	7	4	7	12	11	19	31	2.80	1.20
	6.3%	21.9%	12.5%	21.9%	37.5%	36.7%	63.3%			
Other	0	1	2	2	2	3	4	7	2.76	1.10
	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	57.1%			
Total	2	65	47	93	116	112	210	322	2.81	1.13
	0.6%	20.1%	14.6%	28.8%	35.9%	34.8%	65.2%			

Table 10c. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Gender (Q15)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	0	41	31	43	85	72	128	200	2.86	1.18
	0.0%	20.5%	15.5%	21.5%	42.5%	36.0%	64.0%			
Female	0	22	16	51	30	38	81	119	2.75	1.04
	0.0%	18.5%	13.4%	42.9%	25.2%	31.9%	68.1%			
Non-Binary	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	1.85	1.52
	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%			
Total	2	65	47	94	115	112	210	322	2.81	1.13
	0.6%	20.1%	14.6%	29.1%	35.6%	34.8%	65.2%			

Table 10d. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Age (Q15)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	0	40	24	39	70	64	110	173	2.81	1.20
	0.0%	23.1%	13.9%	22.5%	40.5%	36.8%	63.2%			
35–44	0	12	14	15	14	26	29	55	2.55	1.10
	0.0%	21.8%	25.5%	27.3%	25.5%	47.3%	52.7%			
45–54	2	4	4	24	13	9	37	45	3.01	0.88
	4.3%	8.5%	8.5%	51.1%	27.7%	19.6%	80.4%			
55–64	0	8	3	8	15	11	22	34	2.86	1.25
	0.0%	23.5%	8.8%	23.5%	44.1%	33.3%	66.7%			
65+	0	1	2	9	4	2	13	15	3.08	0.73
	0.0%	6.3%	12.5%	56.3%	25.0%	13.3%	86.7%			
Total	2	65	47	95	116	112	211	322	2.81	1.13
	0.6%	20.0%	14.5%	29.2%	35.7%	34.7%	65.3%			

Table 10e. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Income Range (Q15)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	0	10	2	2	9	12	10	23	2.40	1.43
	0.0%	43.5%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	54.5%	45.5%			
$25K – $50K	0	10	8	15	5	19	21	39	2.40	1.04
	0.0%	26.3%	21.1%	39.5%	13.2%	47.5%	52.5%			
$50K – $75K	0	12	3	35	60	15	95	111	3.29	0.97
	0.0%	10.9%	2.7%	31.8%	54.5%	13.6%	86.4%			
$75K – 100K	0	7	8	8	11	15	19	34	2.71	1.14
	0.0%	20.6%	23.5%	23.5%	32.4%	44.1%	55.9%			
$100K - $150K	2	11	13	8	13	24	21	45	2.50	1.16
	4.3%	23.4%	27.7%	17.0%	27.7%	53.3%	46.7%			
$150K- $200K	0	4	4	3	8	9	11	20	2.77	1.23
	0.0%	21.1%	21.1%	15.8%	42.1%	45.0%	55.0%			
Over 200K	0	4	4	13	7	8	20	28	2.79	0.99
	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	46.4%	25.0%	28.6%	71.4%			
Decline	0	5	5	10	3	10	13	23	2.45	1.00
	0.0%	21.7%	21.7%	43.5%	13.0%	43.5%	56.5%			
Total	2	63	47	94	116	112	210	322*	2.81	1.13
	0.6%	19.6%	14.6%	29.2%	36.0%	34.8%	65.2%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 10f. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q15)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White		2	2	7	5	4	12	16	2.95	0.99
		12.5%	12.5%	43.8%	31.3%	25.0%	75.0%			
African American		33	19	24	59	52	83	135	2.80	1.24
		24.4%	14.1%	17.8%	43.7%	38.5%	61.5%			
Asian		0	0	7	0	0	7	7	3.00	0.00
		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic		5	2	0	5	7	5	12	2.45	1.46
		41.7%	16.7%	0.0%	41.7%	58.3%	41.7%			
Other		0	1	1	1	1	3	3	3.20	0.88
		0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	75.0%			
Total		40	24	39	70	64	110	173	2.81	1.20
		23.1%	13.9%	22.5%	40.5%	36.8%	63.2%			

Table 10g. Clearly explained the reason for the stop by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q15)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White		0	0	6	0	0	6	6	3.06	0.27
		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
African American		18	6	10	9	24	20	44	2.26	1.21
		41.9%	14.0%	23.3%	20.9%	54.5%	45.5%			
Asian		0	1	0	0	1	0	1	–	–
		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Hispanic		3	3	0	5	6	5	10	2.62	1.39
		27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	45.5%	54.5%	45.5%			
Other		0	1	1	0	1	1	2	2.60	0.78
		0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total		21	11	17	14	32	32	62*	2.40	1.18
		33.3%	17.5%	27.0%	22.2%	50.0%	50.0%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 11a. Believed Reason for the Stop by Ward (Q16)

Ward	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
1	9	4	–	0	1	2	1	4	21
	42.9%	19.0%	–	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	
2	8	0	–	3	0	0	0	12	23
	34.8%	.0%	–	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	52.2%	
3	0	0	–	0	0	0	0	18	18
	0.0%	.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	100.0%	
4	13	1	–	0	0	0	0	16	30
	43.3%	3.3%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	53.3%	
5	5	0	–	0	0	0	0	11	16
	31.3%	.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	68.8%	
6	12	0	–	0	0	0	0	8	20
	60.0%	.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	40.0%	
7	2	1	–	0	0	0	0	6	9
	22.2%	11.1%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.0%	66.7%	
8	7	1	–	0	1	1	0	3	13
	53.8%	7.7%	–	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	.0%	23.1%	
Total	56	7	–	3	2	3	1	78	150
	37.3%	4.7%	–	2.0%	1.3%	2.0%	.7%	52.0%	

Table 11b. Reason for the stop by Race/Ethnicity (Q16)

Race/Ethnicity	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
White	2	0	–	0	0	2	0	1	5
	40.0%	.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	
African American	48	7	–	0	1	1	0	70	127
	37.8%	5.5%	–	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	55.1%	
Asian	5	0	–	3	1	0	1	6	16
	31.3%	0.0%	–	18.8%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	37.5%	
Hispanic	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0
	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Other	1	0	–	0	0	0	0	2	3
	33.3%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	
Total	56	7	–	3	2	3	1	79	151
	37.1%	4.6%	–	2.0%	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%	52.3%	

Table 11c. Reason for the stop by Gender (Q16)

Gender	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
Male	37	6	–	0	0	0	0	64	107
	34.6%	5.6%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	59.8%	
Female	19	2	–	3	2	1	1	14	42
	45.2%	4.8%	–	7.1%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%	33.3%	
Non-Binary	0	0	–	0	0	2	0	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	.0%	0.0%	
Total	56	8	–	3	2	3	1	78	151
	37.1%	5.3%	–	2.0%	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%	51.7%	

Table 11d. Reason for the stop by Age (Q16)

Age Range	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
18–34	35	0	–	3	1	2	0	54	95
	36.8%	0.0%	–	3.2%	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%	56.8%	
35–44	10	2	–	0	2	1	1	17	33
	30.3%	6.1%	–	0.0%	6.1%	3.0%	3.0%	51.5%	
45–54	4	5	–	0	0	0	0	2	11
	36.4%	45.5%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	
55–64	7	1	–	0	0	0	0	2	10
	1	0	–	0	0	0	0	0	
65+	1	0	–	0	0	0	0	3	4
	25.0%	.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	
Total	57	8	–	3	3	3	1	78	153
	37.3%	5.2%	–	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.7%	51.0%	

Table 11e. Reason for the stop by Income Range (Q16)

Income Range	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
Under $25K	11	0	–	0	1	0	0	6	18
	61.1%	0.0%	–	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	
$25K – $50K	3	4	–	0	0	0	1	15	23
	13.0%	17.4%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	65.2%	
$50K – $75K	14	1	–	3	0	2	0	20	40
	35.0%	2.5%	–	7.5%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
$75K – 100K	10	0	–	0	0	0	0	8	18
	55.6%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	
$100K - $150K	8	0	–	0	0	0	0	17	25
	32.0%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	68.0%	
$150K- $200K	2	1	–	0	1	0	0	3	7
	28.6%	14.3%	–	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	
Over 200K	7	0	–	0	0	0	0	0	7
	100.0%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Decline	1	0	–	0	0	0	0	8	9
	11.1%	0.0%	–	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%	
Total	56	6	–	3	2	2	1	77	147
	38.1%	4.1%	–	2.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.7%	52.4%	

Table 11f. Reason for the stop by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q16)

Race/Ethnicity	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0
	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
African American	11	5	–	–	1	–	0	16	33
	33.3%	15.2%	–	–	3.0%	–	0.0%	48.5%	
Asian	3	0	–	–	0	–	1	5	9
	33.3%	0.0%	–	–	0.0%	–	11.1%	55.6%	
Hispanic	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0
	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Other	0	0	–	–	0	–	0	1	1
	0.0%	0.0%	–	–	0.0%	–	0.0%	100.0%	
Total	14	5	–	–	1	–	1	22	43
	32.6%	11.6%	–	–	2.3%	–	2.3%	51.2%	

Table 11g. Reason for the stop by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q16)

Race/Ethnicity	Race	Ethnicity	Religion	Age	Gender	Sex	Immigration	>1	Total
White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0
	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
African American	11	5	–	1	–	0	16	0	33
	33.3%	15.2%	–	3.0%	–	0.0%	48.5%	0.0%	
Asian	3	0	–	0	–	1	5	0	9
	33.3%	0.0%	–	0.0%	–	11.1%	55.6%	0.0%	
Hispanic	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0
	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Other	0	0	–	0	–	0	1	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	–	0.0%	–	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Total	14	5	–	1	–	1	22	1	44
	32.6%	11.6%	–	2.3%	–	2.3%	51.2%	1.6%	

Table 12a. Fair and justified ratings by Ward (Q17)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	1	8	14	8	23	23	31	54	2.86	1.14
	1.9%	14.8%	25.9%	14.8%	42.6%	42.6%	57.4%			
2	0	10	9	7	14	20	21	41	2.60	1.21
	0.0%	25.0%	22.5%	17.5%	35.0%	48.8%	51.2%			
3	0	0	1	6	20	1	26	27	3.72	0.50
	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	22.2%	74.1%	3.7%	96.3%			
4	6	22	12	12	9	34	21	55	2.15	1.13
	9.8%	36.1%	19.7%	19.7%	14.8%	61.8%	38.2%			
5	0	12	11	12	16	23	28	51	2.63	1.16
	0.0%	23.5%	21.6%	23.5%	31.4%	45.1%	54.9%			
6	0	3	15	19	7	18	26	45	2.67	0.84
	0.0%	6.8%	34.1%	43.2%	15.9%	40.9%	59.1%			
7	0	2	7	7	3	9	10	19	2.54	0.91
	0.0%	10.5%	36.8%	36.8%	15.8%	47.4%	52.6%			
8	1	9	7	7	3	16	10	25	2.15	1.05
	3.7%	33.3%	25.9%	25.9%	11.1%	61.5%	38.5%			
Total	8	66	76	78	95	144	173	316*	2.64	1.12
	2.5%	20.4%	23.5%	24.1%	29.4%	45.4%	54.9%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 12b. Fair and justified ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q17)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	7	7	25	12	14	38	52	2.84	0.95
	0.0%	13.7%	13.7%	49.0%	23.5%	26.9%	73.1%			
African American	7	51	61	38	68	112	106	218	2.57	1.16
	3.1%	22.7%	27.1%	16.9%	30.2%	51.4%	48.6%			
Asian	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	8	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	1	7	6	6	12	14	18	32	2.71	1.21
	3.1%	21.9%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	43.8%	56.3%			
Other	0	2	1	2	2	3	4	7	2.56	1.25
	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	57.1%			
Total	8	67	75	79	94	143	174	316*	2.64	1.12
	2.5%	20.7%	23.2%	24.5%	29.1%	45.1%	54.9%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 12c. Fair and justified ratings by Gender (Q17)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	7	42	45	35	72	87	107	194	2.71	1.18
	3.5%	20.9%	22.4%	17.4%	35.8%	44.8%	55.2%			
Female	1	23	29	43	23	52	66	118	2.55	1.01
	0.8%	19.3%	24.4%	36.1%	19.3%	44.1%	55.9%			
Non-Binary	0	2	2	0	0	4	0	4	1.69	0.75
	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Total	8	67	76	78	95	143	173	316	2.64	1.12
	2.5%	20.7%	23.5%	24.1%	29.3%	45.3%	54.7%			

Table 12d. Fair and justified ratings by Age (Q17)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	6	35	44	36	52	80	88	168	2.62	1.14
	3.5%	20.2%	25.4%	20.8%	30.1%	47.6%	52.4%			
35–44	2	19	14	8	12	33	20	54	2.25	1.18
	3.6%	34.5%	25.5%	14.5%	21.8%	62.3%	37.7%			
45–54	0	3	9	18	17	12	34	46	3.04	0.91
	0.0%	6.4%	19.1%	38.3%	36.2%	26.1%	73.9%			
55–64	0	8	6	9	11	14	20	34	2.69	1.18
	0.0%	23.5%	17.6%	26.5%	32.4%	41.2%	58.8%			
65+	0	1	3	10	2	4	11	15	2.78	0.76
	0.0%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	12.5%	26.7%	73.3%			
Total	8	66	76	81	94	143	173	316*	2.64	1.12
	2.5%	20.3%	23.4%	24.9%	28.9%	45.3%	54.7%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 12e. Fair and justified ratings by Income Range (Q17)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	0	5	13	3	2	17	5	23	2.12	0.88
	0.0%	21.7%	56.5%	13.0%	8.7%	77.3%	22.7%			
$25K – $50K	1	19	5	8	7	24	15	38	2.07	1.20
	2.5%	47.5%	12.5%	20.0%	17.5%	61.5%	38.5%			
$50K – $75K	0	16	13	25	57	30	81	111	3.10	1.10
	0.0%	14.4%	11.7%	22.5%	51.4%	27.0%	73.0%			
$75K – 100K	0	7	14	5	7	22	12	34	2.35	1.05
	0.0%	21.2%	42.4%	15.2%	21.2%	64.7%	35.3%			
$100K - $150K	6	1	20	12	7	21	19	40	2.61	0.80
	13.0%	2.2%	43.5%	26.1%	15.2%	52.5%	47.5%			
$150K- $200K	0	7	3	6	4	10	10	20	2.36	1.18
	0.0%	35.0%	15.0%	30.0%	20.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Over 200K	0	4	7	12	5	11	17	28	2.64	0.98
	0.0%	14.3%	25.0%	42.9%	17.9%	39.3%	60.7%			
Decline	0	7	1	9	6	8	15	23	2.56	1.20
	0.0%	30.4%	4.3%	39.1%	26.1%	34.8%	65.2%			
Total	7	66	76	80	95	143	174	316	2.64	1.12
	2.2%	20.4%	23.5%	24.7%	29.3%	45.1%	54.9%			

Table 12f. Fair and justified ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q17)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	6	2	7	0	9	7	16	2.05	0.96
	0.0%	40.0%	13.3%	46.7%	0.0%	56.3%	43.8%			
African American	6	27	38	19	46	65	65	130	2.66	1.17
	4.4%	19.9%	27.9%	14.0%	33.8%	50.0%	50.0%			
Asian	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	7	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	0	2	4	1	5	6	6	12	2.76	1.21
	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	41.7%	50.0%	50.0%			
Other	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2.76	1.30
	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	66.7%			
Total	6	36	45	35	52	81	87	168	2.62	1.14
	3.4%	20.7%	25.9%	20.1%	29.9%	48.2%	51.8%			

Table 12g. Fair and justified ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q17)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	5	0	1	0	5	1	6	1.52	1.07
	.0%	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%			
African American	0	13	15	7	8	28	15	43	2.22	1.07
	0.0%	30.2%	34.9%	16.3%	18.6%	65.1%	34.9%			
Asian	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	–	–	–
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	1	6	2	1	1	7	2	9	1.72	1.05
	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	77.8%	22.2%			
Other	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	2.51	2.06
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	1	25	17	10	10	1	20	61	2.09	1.09
	1.6%	39.7%	27.0%	15.9%	15.9%	50.0%	32.8%			

Table 13a. Listening Concerns by Ward (Q18)

Ward	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
1	16	3	11	24	19	36	55	2.81	1.29
	29.6%	5.6%	20.4%	44.4%	34.5%	65.5%			
2	16	7	7	11	23	18	41	2.34	1.25
	39.0%	17.1%	17.1%	26.8%	56.1%	43.9%			
3	0	0	21	6	0	27	27	3.19	0.52
	0.0%	0.0%	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%			
4	15	17	11	17	32	28	60	2.51	1.16
	25.0%	28.3%	18.3%	28.3%	53.3%	46.7%			
5	15	8	9	18	23	28	51	2.61	1.25
	30.0%	16.0%	18.0%	36.0%	45.1%	54.9%			
6	6	7	10	22	13	31	45	3.06	1.10
	13.3%	15.6%	22.2%	48.9%	29.5%	70.5%			
7	1	5	10	3	6	13	20	2.80	0.80
	5.3%	26.3%	52.6%	15.8%	31.6%	68.4%			
8	11	2	4	9	13	13	26	2.39	1.35
	42.3%	7.7%	15.4%	34.6%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	80	49	83	110	129	194	324*	2.69	1.18
	24.8%	15.2%	25.8%	34.2%	39.9%	60.1%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 13b. Listening Concerns by Race/Ethnicity (Q18)

Race/Ethnicity	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
White	9	6	12	25	15	37	52	3.03	1.15
	17.3%	11.5%	23.1%	48.1%	28.8%	71.2%			
African American	63	37	57	67	101	124	225	2.57	1.19
	28.1%	16.5%	25.4%	29.9%	44.9%	55.1%			
Asian	0	0	8	0	0	8	8	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	5	5	5	17	10	22	32	3.04	1.18
	15.6%	15.6%	15.6%	53.1%	31.3%	68.8%			
Other	2	2	2	1	4	3	7	2.26	1.22
	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	42.9%			
Total	79	50	84	110	130	194	324	2.69	1.18
	24.5%	15.5%	26.0%	34.1%	40.1%	59.9%			

Table 13c. Listening Concerns by Gender (Q18)

Gender	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
Male	54	40	45	62	93	107	200	2.58	1.19
	26.9%	19.9%	22.4%	30.8%	46.5%	53.5%			
Female	24	9	37	49	34	86	120	2.92	1.14
	20.2%	7.6%	31.1%	41.2%	28.3%	71.7%			
Non-Binary	2	1	2	0	3	2	4	1.93	1.00
	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%			
Total	80	50	84	111	130	195	324	2.69	1.18
	24.6%	15.4%	25.8%	34.2%	40.0%	60.0%			

Table 13d. Listening Concerns by Age (Q18)

Age Range	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
18–34	48	26	43	56	74	99	173	2.61	1.20
	27.7%	15.0%	24.9%	32.4%	42.8%	57.2%			
35–44	16	12	13	14	28	27	55	2.46	1.17
	29.1%	21.8%	23.6%	25.5%	50.9%	49.1%			
45–54	8	3	14	22	11	36	47	3.05	1.13
	17.0%	6.4%	29.8%	46.8%	23.4%	76.6%			
55–64	4	8	9	13	12	22	34	2.93	1.05
	11.8%	23.5%	26.5%	38.2%	35.3%	64.7%			
65+	3	1	5	6	4	11	15	2.86	1.18
	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%	26.7%	73.3%			
Total	79	50	84	111	129	195	324	2.69	1.18
	24.4%	15.4%	25.9%	34.3%	39.8%	60.2%			

Table 13e. Listening Concerns by Income Range (Q18)

Income Range	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
Under $25K	4	3	4	11	8	15	23	2.96	1.22
	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	50.0%	34.8%	65.2%			
$25K – $50K	20	8	4	8	28	12	39	2.00	1.19
	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	70.0%	30.0%			
$50K – $75K	19	8	39	45	27	84	111	2.98	1.09
	17.1%	7.2%	35.1%	40.5%	24.3%	75.7%			
$75K – 100K	9	7	4	13	17	18	34	2.62	1.26
	27.3%	21.2%	12.1%	39.4%	48.6%	51.4%			
$100K - $150K	18	8	8	12	26	21	47	2.32	1.25
	39.1%	17.4%	17.4%	26.1%	55.3%	44.7%			
$150K- $200K	6	2	3	9	8	12	20	2.74	1.33
	30.0%	10.0%	15.0%	45.0%	40.0%	60.0%			
Over 200K	2	12	7	7	13	14	28	2.74	0.94
	7.1%	42.9%	25.0%	25.0%	48.1%	51.9%			
Decline	1	2	15	5	3	19	23	3.01	0.73
	4.3%	8.7%	65.2%	21.7%	13.6%	86.4%			
Total	79	50	84	110	130	195	324*	2.69	1.18
	24.5%	15.5%	26.0%	34.1%	40.0%	60.0%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 13f. Listening Concerns by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q18)

Race/Ethnicity	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
White	6	2	0	7	8	7	16	2.50	1.45
	40.0%	13.3%	0.0%	46.7%	56.3%	43.8%			
African American	37	20	35	43	57	78	135	2.63	1.19
	27.4%	14.8%	25.9%	31.9%	42.2%	57.8%			
Asian	0	0	7	0	0	7	7	3.00	0.00
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	5	2	0	5	7	5	12	2.45	1.46
	41.7%	16.7%	0.0%	41.7%	58.3%	41.7%			
Other	1	2	1	1	3	2	3	2.40	1.10
	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	66.7%	33.3%			
Total	49	26	43	56	75	99	173	2.61	1.20
	28.2%	14.9%	24.7%	32.2%	43.4%	56.6%			

Table 13g. Listening Concerns by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q18)

Race/Ethnicity	Did not listen at all	Did not listen very carefully	Listen somewhat carefully	Listen very carefully	Did not Listen	Listen Carefully	N	M	SD
White	5	0	1	0	5	1	6	1.52	1.07
	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%			
African American	18	8	4	14	25	18	44	2.33	1.31
	40.9%	18.2%	9.1%	31.8%	58.1%	41.9%			
Asian	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	–	–
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	2	3	2	4	4	6	10	2.76	1.22
	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%	40.0%	60.0%			
Other	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	2.68	1.46
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	25	12	8	19	35	27	62*	2.34	1.28
	39.1%	18.8%	12.5%	29.7%	56.5%	43.5%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 14a. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Ward (Q19)

Ward	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
1	0	12	6	15	21	19	36	55	2.83	1.19
	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	27.8%	38.9%	34.5%	65.5%			
2	0	10	1	10	20	11	30	41	2.99	1.24
	0.0%	24.4%	2.4%	24.4%	48.8%	26.8%	73.2%			
3	0	0	1	20	6	1	26	27	3.15	0.52
	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	74.1%	22.2%	3.7%	96.3%			
4	0	12	10	20	19	21	39	60	2.75	1.10
	0.0%	19.7%	16.4%	32.8%	31.1%	35.0%	65.0%			
5	0	12	11	5	22	23	28	51	2.76	1.25
	0.0%	24.0%	22.0%	10.0%	44.0%	45.1%	54.9%			
6	0	3	2	28	12	5	40	45	3.10	0.74
	0.0%	6.7%	4.4%	62.2%	26.7%	11.1%	88.9%			
7	0	2	5	7	4	8	11	19	2.69	0.97
	0.0%	11.1%	27.8%	38.9%	22.2%	42.1%	57.9%			
8	0	11	3	6	6	14	13	26	2.32	1.25
	0.0%	42.3%	11.5%	23.1%	23.1%	51.9%	48.1%			
Total	0	62	39	111	110	102	223	324	2.84	1.10
	0.0%	19.3%	12.1%	34.5%	34.2%	31.4%	68.6%			

Table 14b. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race/Ethnicity (Q19)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
White	0	3	7	13	29	11	41	52	3.28	0.94
	0.0%	5.8%	13.5%	25.0%	55.8%	21.2%	78.8%			
African American	0	46	27	85	67	73	151	225	2.76	1.09
	0.0%	20.4%	12.0%	37.8%	29.8%	32.6%	67.4%			
Asian	0	0	1	7	0	1	7	8	2.90	0.32
	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%			
Hispanic	0	11	2	6	13	13	19	32	2.67	1.34
	0.0%	34.4%	6.3%	18.8%	40.6%	40.6%	59.4%			
Other	0	1	2	2	2	3	4	7	2.68	1.16
	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	57.1%			
Total	0	61	39	113	111	101	222	324	2.84	1.10
	0.0%	18.8%	12.0%	34.9%	34.3%	31.3%	68.7%			

Table 14c. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Gender (Q19)

Gender	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
Male	0	37	23	67	74	60	141	200	2.89	1.10
	0.0%	18.4%	11.4%	33.3%	36.8%	29.9%	70.1%			
Female	0	23	16	44	36	39	80	119	2.79	1.08
	0.0%	19.3%	13.4%	37.0%	30.3%	32.8%	67.2%			
Non-Binary	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	4	2.04	1.08
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	0	62	39	113	110	101	223	324*	2.84	1.10
	0.0%	19.1%	12.0%	34.9%	34.0%	31.2%	68.8%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 14d. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Age (Q19)

Age Range	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
18–34	0	33	22	66	53	55	119	173	2.80	1.08
	0.0%	19.0%	12.6%	37.9%	30.5%	31.6%	68.4%			
35–44	0	12	7	19	16	19	35	55	2.71	1.12
	0.0%	22.2%	13.0%	35.2%	29.6%	35.2%	64.8%			
45–54	0	8	4	18	16	12	34	47	2.91	1.07
	0.0%	17.4%	8.7%	39.1%	34.8%	26.1%	73.9%			
55–64	0	7	3	5	19	10	24	34	3.03	1.25
	0.0%	20.6%	8.8%	14.7%	55.9%	29.4%	70.6%			
65+	0	1	4	4	6	5	10	15	3.04	1.02
	0.0%	6.7%	26.7%	26.7%	40.0%	33.3%	66.7%			
Total	0	61	40	112	110	101	222	324	2.84	1.10
	0.0%	18.9%	12.4%	34.7%	34.1%	31.3%	68.7%			

Table 14e. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Income Range (Q19)

Income Range	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
Under $25K	0	7	5	7	3	13	10	23	2.22	1.05
	0.0%	31.8%	22.7%	31.8%	13.6%	56.5%	43.5%			
$25K – $50K	0	23	6	4	7	29	11	39	1.87	1.18
	0.0%	57.5%	15.0%	10.0%	17.5%	72.5%	27.5%			
$50K – $75K	0	12	3	47	48	16	95	111	3.18	0.94
	0.0%	10.9%	2.7%	42.7%	43.6%	14.4%	85.6%			
$75K – 100K	0	7	5	13	10	12	22	34	2.75	1.09
	0.0%	20.0%	14.3%	37.1%	28.6%	35.3%	64.7%			
$100K - $150K	0	2	9	14	21	11	36	46	3.19	0.90
	0.0%	4.3%	19.6%	30.4%	45.7%	23.4%	76.6%			
$150K- $200K	0	3	4	4	8	7	12	20	2.87	1.16
	0.0%	15.8%	21.1%	21.1%	42.1%	36.8%	63.2%			
Over 200K	0	2	5	13	8	7	21	28	2.97	0.89
	0.0%	7.1%	17.9%	46.4%	28.6%	25.0%	75.0%			
Decline	0	5	2	10	6	7	16	23	2.69	1.11
	0.0%	21.7%	8.7%	43.5%	26.1%	30.4%	69.6%			
Total	0	61	39	112	111	102	223	324	2.84	1.10
	0.0%	18.9%	12.1%	34.7%	34.4%	31.4%	68.6%			

Table 14f. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q19)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
White		2	5	5	4	6	9	16	2.76	1.02
		12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%	40.0%	60.0%			
African American		24	14	52	45	38	97	135	2.87	1.07
		17.8%	10.4%	38.5%	33.3%	28.1%	71.9%			
Asian		0	0	7	0	0	7	7	3.00	0.00
		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic		7	2	0	3	9	3	12	1.95	1.34
		58.3%	16.7%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	25.0%			
Other		0	1	1	1	1	2	3	2.96	0.96
		0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%			
Total		33	22	65	53	54	118	173	2.80	1.08
		19.1%	12.7%	37.6%	30.6%	31.4%	68.6%			

Table 14g. Fair outcome of the stop ratings by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q19)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Very Unfair	Somewhat Unfair	Somewhat Fair	Very Fair	Unfair	Fair	N	M	SD
White	0	0	5	1	0	5	1	6	2.29	0.63
	.0%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%			
African American	0	23	5	9	6	28	16	44	1.99	1.16
	0.0%	53.5%	11.6%	20.9%	14.0%	63.6%	36.4%			
Asian	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	–	–
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Hispanic	0	7	0	1	2	7	3	10	1.73	1.30
	0.0%	70.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	70.0%	30.0%			
Other	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	2.90	1.46
	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	0	30	12	11	9	42	21	62*	2.00	1.14
	0.0%	48.4%	19.4%	17.7%	14.5%	66.7%	33.3%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 15a. Adequately addressed the concerns by Ward (Q21)

Ward	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
1	7	5	21	38	73	26	112	145	3.15	1.10
	4.9%	3.5%	14.6%	26.4%	50.7%	18.8%	81.2%			
2	3	5	14	56	77	20	133	155	3.28	0.90
	1.9%	3.2%	9.0%	36.1%	49.7%	13.1%	86.9%			
3	8	2	10	72	33	13	105	126	2.95	1.00
	6.4%	1.6%	8.0%	57.6%	26.4%	11.0%	89.0%			
4	4	7	16	59	62	23	121	148	3.14	0.97
	2.7%	4.7%	10.8%	39.9%	41.9%	16.0%	84.0%			
5	18	23	13	60	67	36	126	181	2.74	1.34
	9.9%	12.7%	7.2%	33.1%	37.0%	22.2%	77.8%			
6	22	12	17	69	68	29	137	188	2.79	1.32
	11.7%	6.4%	9.0%	36.7%	36.2%	17.5%	82.5%			
7	3	6	9	58	23	15	82	100	2.91	0.94
	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	58.6%	23.2%	15.5%	84.5%			
8	3	9	10	36	59	19	95	116	3.20	1.05
	2.6%	7.7%	8.5%	30.8%	50.4%	16.7%	83.3%			
Total	68	69	110	448	462	181	911	1,160	3.01	11.3
	5.9%	6.0%	9.5%	38.7%	39.9%	16.6%	83.4%			

Table 15b. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race/Ethnicity (Q21)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	22	29	32	121	152	60	273	356	2.99	1.18
	6.2%	8.1%	9.0%	34.0%	42.7%	18.0%	82.0%			
African American	43	31	61	258	225	92	483	619	2.96	1.14
	7.0%	5.0%	9.9%	41.7%	36.4%	16.0%	84.0%			
Asian	1	0	4	15	16	4	31	36	3.27	0.82
	2.8%	0.0%	11.1%	41.7%	44.4%	11.4%	88.6%			
Hispanic	1	8	7	41	62	15	104	119	3.31	0.91
	0.8%	6.7%	5.9%	34.5%	52.1%	12.6%	87.4%			
Other	1	4	6	11	8	10	19	30	2.69	1.11
	3.3%	13.3%	20.0%	36.7%	26.7%	34.5%	65.5%			
Total	68	72	110	446	463	181	910	1,160	3.01	1.13
	5.9%	6.2%	9.5%	38.5%	39.9%	16.6%	83.4%			

Table 15c. Adequately addressed the concerns by Gender (Q21)

Gender	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Male	38	29	42	245	196	72	441	550	2.97	1.13
	6.9%	5.3%	7.6%	44.5%	35.6%	14.0%	86.0%			
Female	30	42	67	198	265	109	463	601	3.04	1.13
	5.0%	7.0%	11.1%	32.9%	44.0%	19.1%	80.9%			
Non-Binary	0	1	1	5	2	1	6	8	2.91	0.89
	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%	14.3%	85.7%			
Total	68	72	110	448	463	182	910	1,160*	3.01	1.13
	5.9%	6.2%	9.5%	38.6%	39.9%	16.7%	83.3%			

Table 15d. Adequately addressed the concerns by Age (Q21)

Age Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
18–34	36	29	29	178	162	59	340	435	2.92	1.20
	8.3%	6.7%	6.7%	41.0%	37.3%	14.8%	85.2%			
35–44	14	11	32	100	88	44	188	246	2.97	1.09
	5.7%	4.5%	13.1%	40.8%	35.9%	19.0%	81.0%			
45–54	4	13	16	86	69	29	155	188	3.09	0.95
	2.1%	6.9%	8.5%	45.7%	36.7%	15.8%	84.2%			
55–64	4	9	14	43	61	23	105	131	3.14	1.04
	3.1%	6.9%	10.7%	32.8%	46.6%	18.0%	82.0%			
65+	11	9	18	40	82	27	122	160	3.09	1.21
	6.9%	5.6%	11.3%	25.0%	51.3%	18.1%	81.9%			
Total	69	71	109	447	462	182	910	1,160	3.01	1.13
	6.0%	6.1%	9.4%	38.6%	39.9%	16.7%	83.3%			

Table 15e. Adequately addressed the concerns by Income Range (Q21)

Income Range	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
Under $25K	8	5	17	36	28	21	64	94	2.75	1.20
	8.5%	5.3%	18.1%	38.3%	29.8%	24.7%	75.3%			
$25K – $50K	13	11	6	80	40	17	120	150	2.82	1.17
	8.7%	7.3%	4.0%	53.3%	26.7%	12.4%	87.6%			
$50K – $75K	2	10	15	65	100	24	165	191	3.32	0.88
	1.0%	5.2%	7.8%	33.9%	52.1%	12.7%	87.3%			
$75K – 100K	3	18	14	67	73	32	140	176	3.07	1.04
	1.7%	10.3%	8.0%	38.3%	41.7%	18.6%	81.4%			
$100K - $150K	20	5	13	80	87	18	167	205	3.03	1.21
	9.8%	2.4%	6.3%	39.0%	42.4%	9.7%	90.3%			
$150K- $200K	11	6	8	55	39	14	94	120	2.88	1.20
	9.2%	5.0%	6.7%	46.2%	32.8%	13.0%	87.0%			
Over 200K	6	13	21	45	69	33	113	153	3.04	1.12
	3.9%	8.4%	13.6%	29.2%	44.8%	22.6%	77.4%			
Decline	5	5	16	20	26	21	47	72	2.82	1.19
	6.9%	6.9%	22.2%	27.8%	36.1%	30.9%	69.1%			
Total	68	73	110	448	462	180	910	1,160	3.01	1.13
	5.9%	6.3%	9.5%	38.6%	39.8%	16.5%	83.2%			

Table 15f. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race Among Those 18–34 Years Old (Q21)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	10	11	10	35	41	22	76	107	2.79	1.31
	9.3%	10.3%	9.3%	32.7%	38.3%	22.4%	77.6%			
African American	26	13	15	118	99	28	217	271	2.93	1.21
	9.6%	4.8%	5.5%	43.5%	36.5%	11.4%	88.6%			
Asian	0	0	0	6	1	0	7	7	3.16	0.39
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	0	4	2	13	18	6	31	37	3.22	0.98
	0.0%	10.8%	5.4%	35.1%	48.6%	16.2%	83.8%			
Other	0	1	2	6	3	3	9	12	2.85	0.90
	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	75.0%			
Total	36	29	29	178	162	59	340	435*	2.92	1.20
	8.3%	6.7%	6.7%	41.0%	37.3%	14.8%	85.2%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 15g. Adequately addressed the concerns by Race and Lower Income Brackets (<$50,000 Annually) (Q21)

Race/Ethnicity	Unsure	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagreement	Agreement	N	M	SD
White	0	8	1	5	6	9	11	19	2.41	1.32
	.0%	40.0%	5.0%	25.0%	30.0%	45.0%	55.0%			
African American	20	6	20	95	49	27	144	190	2.77	1.18
	10.5%	3.2%	10.5%	50.0%	25.8%	15.8%	84.2%			
Asian	0	0	0	3	3	0	6	6	3.55	0.55
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Hispanic	1	1	1	10	9	2	19	22	3.17	0.99
	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	40.9%	9.5%	90.5%			
Other	1	0	0	3	1	1	5	6	2.73	1.27
	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	16.7%	83.3%			
Total	22	15	22	116	68	39	185	244*	2.79	1.18
	9.1%	6.2%	9.1%	47.7%	28.0%	17.4%	82.6%			

*Sample size does not sum to total because of rounding

Table 16a. Stopped by police officer (Q13) by ward

Ward	No	Yes
1	221	55
	80.1%	19.9%
2	212	41
	83.8%	16.2%
3	258	27
	90.5%	9.5%
4	234	60
	79.6%	20.4%
5	242	51
	82.6%	17.4%
6	244	45
	84.4%	15.6%
7	184	20
	90.2%	9.8%
8	184	26
	87.6%	12.4%
Total	1,779	325
	84.6%	15.4%

Table 16b. Stopped by police officer (Q13) by Race/Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	No	Yes
White	693	52
	93.0%	7.0%
African American	799	225
	78.0%	22.0%
Asian	68	8
	89.5%	10.5%
Hispanic	176	32
	84.6%	15.4%
Other	43	7
	86.0%	14.0%
Total	1,779	324
	84.6%	15.4%

Table 16c. Stopped by police officer overall by Gender (Q13)

Gender	No	Yes
Male	794	200
	79.9%	20.1%
Female	966	120
	89.0%	11.0%
Non-Binary	18	4
	81.8%	18.2%
Total	1,778	324
	84.6%	15.4%

Table 16d. Stopped by a police officer overall by Age (Q13)

Age Range	No	Yes
18–34	674	173
	79.6%	20.4%
35–44	327	55
	85.6%	14.4%
45–54	260	47
	84.7%	15.3%
55–64	224	34
	86.8%	13.2%
65+	294	15
	95.1%	4.9%
Total	1,779	324
	84.6%	15.4%

Table 16e. Stopped by a police officer overall by Income Range (Q13)

Income Range	No	Yes
Under $25K	184	23
	88.9%	11.1%
$25K – $50K	278	39
	87.7%	12.3%
$50K – $75K	217	111
	66.2%	33.8%
$75K – 100K	250	34
	88.0%	12.0%
$100K - $150K	301	47
	86.5%	13.5%
$150K- $200K	163	20
	89.1%	10.9%
Over 200K	257	28
	90.2%	9.8%
Decline	128	23
	84.8%	15.2%
Total	1,778	325
	84.5%	15.5%

Table 17a. Request Assistance (Q20) by Ward

Ward	Did not request	MPD	Other
1	130	142	3
	47.3%	51.6%	1.1%
2	98	147	8
	38.7%	58.1%	3.2%
3	159	125	1
	55.8%	43.9%	0.4%
4	146	138	10
	49.7%	46.9%	3.4%
5	112	164	17
	38.2%	56.0%	5.8%
6	101	178	10
	34.9%	61.6%	3.5%
7	103	89	12
	50.5%	43.6%	5.9%
8	94	106	10
	44.8%	50.5%	4.8%
Total	943	1089	71
	44.8%	51.8%	3.4%

Table 17b. Request Assistance (Q20) by Race/Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	Did not request	MPD	Other
White	389	326	29
	52.3%	43.8%	3.9%
African American	405	588	31
	39.6%	57.4%	3.0%
Asian	40	36	0
	52.6%	47.4%	0.0%
Hispanic	89	111	8
	42.8%	53.4%	3.8%
Other	20	28	2
	40.0%	56.0%	4.0%
Total	943	1,089	70
	44.9%	51.8%	3.3%

Table 17c. Request Assistance (Q20) by Gender

Gender	Did not request	MPD	Other
Male	444	519	31
	44.7%	52.2%	3.1%
Female	484	562	40
	44.6%	51.7%	3.7%
Non-Binary	14	8	0
	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%
Total	942	1,089	71
	44.8%	51.8%	3.4%

Table 17d. Request Assistance (Q20) by Age

Age Range	Did not request	MPD	Other
18–34	412	404	31
	48.6%	47.7%	3.7%
35–44	136	225	21
	35.6%	58.9%	5.5%
45–54	119	179	9
	38.8%	58.3%	2.9%
55–64	126	128	4
	48.8%	49.6%	1.6%
65+	149	154	6
	48.2%	49.8%	1.9%
Total	942	1,090	71
	44.8%	51.8%	3.4%

Table 17e. Request Assistance (Q20) by Income Range

Income Range	Did not request	MPD	Other
Under $25K	113	84	10
	54.6%	40.6%	4.8%
$25K – $50K	168	140	10
	52.8%	44.0%	3.1%
$50K – $75K	137	185	6
	41.8%	56.4%	1.8%
$75K – 100K	109	153	22
	38.4%	53.9%	7.7%
$100K - $150K	143	202	2
	41.2%	58.2%	0.6%
$150K- $200K	64	110	10
	34.8%	59.8%	5.4%
Over 200K	133	147	6
	46.5%	51.4%	2.1%
Decline	78	67	5
	52.0%	44.7%	3.3%
Total	945	1,088	71
	44.9%	51.7%	3.4%

Table 18a. Police Agency Contact by Ward (Q4)

Ward	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	No contact	Total
1	207	1	7	2	0	22	34	273
	75.8%	0.4%	2.6%	0.7%	0.0%	8.1%	12.4%	100.0%
2	197	8	11	4	0	0	32	252
	78.2%	3.2%	4.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%	100.0%
3	191	19	15	1	0	5	37	268
	71.3%	7.1%	5.6%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	7.2%	100.0%
4	223	22	7	7	0	0	33	292
	76.4%	7.5%	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	100.0%
5	205	6	12	9	0	1	59	292
	70.2%	2.1%	4.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.3%	20.2%	100.0%
6	234	14	3	1	2	3	29	286
	81.8%	5.0%	1.0%	0.3%	0.7%	1.0%	10.1%	100.0%
7	137	4	6	3	2	3	48	203
	67.5%	2.0%	3.0%	1.5%	1.0%	1.5%	23.6%	100.0%
8	165	1	1	1	2	2	29	201
	82.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%	1.0%	14.4%	100.0%
Total	1559	75	62	28	6	36	301	2067
	75.4%	3.6%	3.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.7%	14.6%	100.0%

Table 18b. Police Agency Contact by Race/Ethnicity (Q4)

Race/ethnicity	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	No contact	Total
White	539	43	20	9	0	7	115	733
	73.5%	5.9%	2.7%	1.2%	0.0%	1.0%	15.7%	100.0%
African American	783	24	31	11	3	25	127	1004
	78.0%	2.4%	3.1%	1.1%	0.3%	2.5%	12.6%	100.0%
Asian	51	2	0	2	0	0	21	76
	67.1%	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	27.6%	100.0%
Hispanic	149	4	11	6	3	4	30	207
	72.0%	1.9%	5.3%	2.9%	1.4%	1.9%	14.5%	100.0%
Other	36	3	1	1	0	0	9	50
	72.0%	6.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%	100.0%
Total	1558	76	63	29	6	36	302	2070
	75.3%	3.7%	3.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.7%	14.6%	100.0%

Table 18c. Police Agency Contact by Gender (Q4)

Gender	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	No contact	Total
Male	748	56	29	16	5	30	109	993
	75.3%	5.6%	2.9%	1.6%	0.5%	3.0%	11.0%	100.0%
Female	791	19	34	13	1	5	191	1054
	75.0%	1.8%	3.2%	1.2%	0.1%	0.5%	18.1%	100.0%
Non-Binary	20	0	0	0	0	1	2	23
	87.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	8.7%	100.0%
Total	1559	75	63	29	6	36	302	2070
	75.3%	3.6%	3.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.7%	14.6%	100.0%

Table 18d. Police Agency Contact by Age (Q4)

Age Range	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	No contact	Total
18–34	621	37	49	14	2	20	87	830
	74.8%	4.5%	5.9%	1.7%	0.2%	2.4%	10.5%	100.0%
35–44	293	14	7	3	2	6	54	379
	77.3%	3.7%	1.8%	0.8%	0.5%	1.6%	14.2%	100.0%
45–54	244	3	0	4	0	6	47	304
	80.3%	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%	15.4%	100.0%
55–64	177	16	3	2	2	3	53	256
	69.1%	6.3%	1.2%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%	20.6%	100.0%
65+	224	6	3	5	0	2	60	300
	74.6%	2.0%	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.7%	20.0%	100.0%
Total	1,559	76	62	28	6	37	301	2,069
	75.4%	3.7%	3.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.8%	14.6%	100.0%

Table 18e. Police Agency Contact by Income Range (Q4)

Income Range	MPD	US Capitol	Metro	Park	Housing	Different	No contact	Total
Under $25K	148	1	2	1	1	17	36	206
	71.8%	0.5%	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	8.3%	17.4%	100.0%
$25K – $50K	218	9	3	13	2	1	60	306
	71.2%	2.9%	1.0%	4.2%	0.7%	0.3%	19.6%	100.0%
$50K – $75K	273	8	9	1	0	4	33	328
	83.2%	2.4%	2.7%	0.3%	0.0%	1.2%	10.1%	100.0%
$75K – 100K	202	11	8	3	3	1	47	275
	73.5%	4.0%	2.9%	1.1%	1.1%	0.4%	17.0%	100.0%
$100K - $150K	272	4	11	5	0	6	50	348
	78.2%	1.1%	3.2%	1.4%	0.0%	1.7%	14.4%	100.0%
$150K- $200K	156	7	3	1	0	0	14	181
	86.2%	3.9%	1.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%
Over 200K	189	34	21	4	0	7	29	284
	66.5%	12.0%	7.4%	1.4%	0.0%	2.5%	10.2%	100.0%
Decline	103	2	5	1	0	0	32	143
	72.0%	1.4%	3.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.4%	100.0%
Total	1561	76	62	29	6	36	301	2071
	75.4%	3.7%	3.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.7%	14.5%	100.0%

The biggest and statistically significant difference in exposure with MPD is between residents with households earnings between $50,0001-$75,000 (83.2%), and those earning > $200k (66.5%), z =4.15, p < .001